

ALGEBRAIC DYNAMICS OF THE LIFTS OF FROBENIUS

JUNYI XIE

ABSTRACT. We study the algebraic dynamics for endomorphisms of projective spaces with coefficients in a p -adic field whose reduction in positive characteristic is the Frobenius. In particular, we prove a version of the dynamical Manin-Mumford conjecture and the dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture for the coherent backward orbits for such endomorphisms. We also give a new proof of a dynamical version of the Tate-Voloch conjecture in this case. Our method is based on the theory of perfectoid spaces introduced by P. Scholze.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	3
Acknowledgement	8
2. Preliminary: perfectoid spaces	9
2.1. Adic spaces	9
2.2. Perfectoid fields	9
2.3. Almost mathematics	11
2.4. Perfectoid algebra	12
2.5. Perfectoid spaces	13
2.6. Points in the perfectoid spaces	15
3. Inverse limit of lifts of Frobenius	16
3.1. Adic projective spaces	16
3.2. Lifts of Frobenius on $\mathbb{P}_K^{N, \text{ad}}$	16
3.3. The inverse limit	17
3.4. Passing to the tilt	20
4. Periodic points	21
4.1. Passing by the reduction	22
4.2. Passing by the tilt	22
4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2	23
4.4. Proof of Theorem 1.1	25
4.5. Scanlon's proof of Theorem 1.1	27
5. Coherent backward orbits	28
5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.7	29
5.2. Proof of Corollary 1.8	31
5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.6	31

Date: September 7, 2016.

The author is supported by the labex CIMI.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $F : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$ be an endomorphism taking form

$$F : [x_0 : \cdots : x_N] \mapsto [x_0^q + pP_0(x_0, \cdots, x_N) : \cdots : x_N^q + pP_N(x_0, \cdots, x_N)]$$

where q is a power of p , $P_0, \cdots, P_N$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree q in $\mathbb{C}_p[x_0, \cdots, x_N]$. We say that F is a *lift of Frobenius on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$* .

In this paper we present a new argument to study the algebraic dynamics for such maps, which is based on the theory of perfectoid spaces introduced by P. Scholze. In particular, we study some dynamical analogues of diophantine geometry for such maps.

Dynamical Manin-Mumford conjecture. At first, we recall the dynamical Manin-Mumford conjecture proposed by Zhang [33].

Dynamical Manin-Mumford Conjecture. Let $F : X_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}}$ be an endomorphism of a quasi-projective variety defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Let V be a subvariety of X . If the Zariski closure of the set of preperiodic¹ (resp. periodic²) points of F contained in V is Zariski dense in V , then V itself is preperiodic (resp. periodic).

This conjecture is a dynamical analogue of the Manin-Mumford conjecture on subvarieties of abelian varieties. More precisely, let V be an irreducible subvariety inside an abelian variety A over $\mathbb{C}$ such that the intersection of the set of torsion points of A and V is Zariski dense in V . Then the Manin-Mumford conjecture asserts that there exists an abelian subvariety V_0 of A and a torsion point $a \in A(\mathbb{C})$ such that $V = V_0 + a$.

The Manin-Mumford conjecture was first proved by Raynaud [22, 23]. Various versions of this conjecture were proved by Ullmo [28], Zhang [32], Buium [6], Hrushovski [15] and Pink-Roessler[21]. Observe that the dynamical Manin-Mumford conjecture for the map $x \rightarrow 2x$ on A implies the classical Manin-Mumford conjecture.

The dynamical Manin-Mumford conjecture does not hold in full generality, as we have some counterexamples [14, 19, 20]. This motivated the proposal of several modified versions of it [14, 31].

However, this conjecture is now known to hold in some special cases [1, 8, 18, 11, 14, 7, 12]. It seems that for a given endomorphism, the dynamical Manin-Mumford conjecture could be true, except that we have a reason to make it to be false.

In this paper, we prove the dynamical Manin-Mumford conjecture for periodic points of lifts of Frobenius on $\mathbb{P}^N$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $F : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$ be a lift of Frobenius on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$. Denote by Per the set of periodic closed points in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$. Let V be any irreducible subvariety of*

¹A preperiodic point x is a point satisfying $F^m(x) = F^n(x)$ for some $m > n \geq 0$.

²A periodic point x is a point satisfying $F^n(x) = x$ for some $n > 0$.

$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$ such that $V \cap \text{Per}$ is Zariski dense in V . Then V is periodic i.e. there exists $l \geq 1$ such that $F^l(V) = V$.

We note that in [18], Medvedev and Scanlon have proved Theorem 1.1 in the case

$$F : [x_0 : \cdots : x_N] \mapsto [x_0^q + pP(x_0, x_N) : \cdots : x_{N-1}^q + pP(x_{N-1}, x_N) : x_N^q]$$

where q is a power of p and $P \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x, y]$ is a homogenous polynomial of degree q . In [20], Pazuki have studied the subject about the lifts of Frobenius on abelian varieties.

We should mention that, recently Scanlon got a new proof of this theorem without the using of the perfectoid spaces. Since this proof is unpublished and it is completely different from ours, we will discuss it briefly in Section 4 of this paper.

Dynamical Tate-Voloch Conjecture. Let V be an irreducible subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$. There are homogenous polynomial in $H_i \in \mathbb{C}_p[x_0, \dots, x_N]$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ satisfying $\|H_i\| = 1$ which define V . For any point $y \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N(\mathbb{C}_p)$, we may write $y = [y_0 : \cdots : y_N]$, $\max\{|y_i|\}_{0 \leq i \leq N} = 1$. Then we denote by $d(y, V) := \max\{|H_i(y_0, \dots, y_N)|\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$. Observe that $d(y, V)$ does not depend on the choice of $\{H_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ and the coordinates $[y_0 : \cdots : y_N]$ of y . It can be viewed as the distance between y and V . Moreover for any quasi-projective variety X and subvariety V of X , by choosing an embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$, $d(\bullet, \overline{V})$ defines a distance between V and a point in X .

In [27], Tate and Voloch made the following conjecture.

Tate-Voloch Conjecture. Let A be a semiabelian variety over $\mathbb{C}_p$ and V a subvariety of A . Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for any torsion point $x \in A$, we have either $x \in V$ or $d(x, V) > C$.

This conjecture is proved by Scanlon in [24] when A is defined over a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

In [5], Buium proved a dynamical version of this conjecture for periodic points of lifts of Frobenius on any algebraic variety. Here we state it only for the lifts of Frobenius on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $F : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$ be a lift of Frobenius on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$. Let V be any irreducible subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any point $x \in \text{Per}$, either $d(x, V) > \delta$ or $x \in V$.*

In this paper, we give a new proof of this theorem by using the perfectoid spaces.

Dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture. The Mordell-Lang conjecture on subvarieties of semiabelian varieties (now a theorem of Faltings [9] and Vojta [29]) says that if V is a subvariety of a semiabelian variety G defined over $\mathbb{C}$ and Γ is a finitely generated subgroup of $G(\mathbb{C})$, then $V(\mathbb{C}) \cap \Gamma$ is a union of at most finitely many translates of subgroups of Γ .

Inspired by this, Ghioca and Tucker proposed the following dynamical analogue of the Mordell-Lang conjecture.

Dynamical Mordell-Lang Conjecture ([13]). Let X be a quasi-projective variety defined over $\mathbb{C}$, let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an endomorphism, and V be any subvariety of X . For any point $x \in X(\mathbb{C})$ the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f^n(x) \in V(\mathbb{C})\}$ is a union of at most finitely many arithmetic progressions³.

Observe that the dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture implies the classical Mordell-Lang conjecture in the case $\Gamma \simeq (\mathbb{Z}, +)$.

The dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture is proved in many cases. For example, Bell, Ghioca and Tucker proved this conjecture for étale maps [2], and the author proved it for the endomorphisms on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$ [30]. We refer to the book [3] for a good survey of this conjecture.

We note that the dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture is not a full generalization of the Mordell-Lang conjecture. In particular, it considers only the forward orbit but not the backward orbit. In an informal seminar, S-W Zhang asked the following question to me.

Question 1.3. Let X be a quasi-projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ and $F : X \rightarrow X$ be a finite endomorphism. Let x be a point in $X(\mathbb{C})$. Denote by $O^-(x) := \cup_{i=0}^{\infty} F^{-i}(x)$ the backward orbit of x . Let V be a positively dimensional irreducible subvariety of X . If $V \cap O^-(x)$ is Zariski dense in V , what can we say on V ?

As the dynamical Manin-Mumford conjecture, we may guess that such V should be preperiodic unless we have other geometric reasons.

As a special case of Question 1.3, we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.4. Let X be a quasi-projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ and $F : X \rightarrow X$ be a finite endomorphism. Let $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ be a sequence of points in $X(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $f(b_i) = b_{i-1}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Let V be a positively dimensional irreducible subvariety of X . If the $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0} \cap V$ is Zariski dense in V , then V is periodic under F .

Remark 1.5. This conjecture can be viewed as the dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture for the coherent backward orbits. In fact, it is easy to see that Conjecture 1.4 is equivalent to the following:

Let X be a quasi-projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ and $F : X \rightarrow X$ be an endomorphism. Let $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ be a sequence of points in $X(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $f(b_i) = b_{i-1}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Let V be a subvariety of X . Then the set $\{n \geq 0 \mid b_n \in V\}$ is a union of at most finitely many arithmetic progressions

In this paper, we prove Conjecture 1.4 for the lifts of Frobenius of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$.

Theorem 1.6. Let $F : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$ be a lift of Frobenius on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$. Let $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N(\mathbb{C}_p)$ satisfying $f(b_i) = b_{i-1}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Let V be a positively dimensional irreducible subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$. If the $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0} \cap V$ is Zariski dense in V , then V is periodic under F .

³An arithmetic progression is a set of the form $\{an + b \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, when $a = 0$, it contains only one point

In fact, we may prove a stronger statement.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $F : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$ be a lift of Frobenius on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$. Let $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N(\mathbb{C}_p)$ satisfying $f(b_i) = b_{i-1}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Let V be a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$. If there exists a subsequence $\{b_{n_i}\}_{i \geq 0}$ such that $|d(b_{n_i}, V)| \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $b_{n_i} \in V$ for i large enough and there exists $r \geq 0$, such that $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0} \subseteq \cup_{i=0}^r F^i(V)$.*

It implies the following Tate-Voloch type statement.

Corollary 1.8. *Let $F : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$ be a lift of Frobenius on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$. Let $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N(\mathbb{C}_p)$ satisfying $f(b_i) = b_{i-1}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Let V be a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$. Then there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $i \geq 0$, either $b_i \in V$ or $d(b_i, V) > c$.*

Overview of the proofs. Let us now see in more details how our arguments work.

Denote by $K := \mathbb{C}_p$ and $K^b := \widehat{\overline{\mathbb{F}_p((t))}}$ the completion of the algebraically closure of $\mathbb{F}_p$. Denote by $k := \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$. We have $k = K^\circ / K^{\circ\circ} = K^{b\circ} / K^{b\circ\circ}$. Moreover, we have an embedding $k \hookrightarrow K^b$.

Let $F : \mathbb{P}_K^N \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^N$ be an endomorphism taking form

$$F : [x_0 : \cdots : x_N] \mapsto [x_0^q + pP_0(x_0, \cdots, x_N) : \cdots : x_N^q + pP_N(x_0, \cdots, x_N)]$$

where q is a power of p , $P_0, \cdots, P_N$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree q in $K^\circ[x_0, \cdots, x_N]$.

We associate to $\mathbb{P}_K^N$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N$) a non archimedean analytic space $\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{ad}}$) with a natural embedding $\mathbb{P}_K^N(K) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N(K^b) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{ad}}$). The endomorphism F extends to an endomorphism F^{ad} on $\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$.

Denote by $\varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$ the inverse limit of the topological dynamical system F^{ad} on $\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$. Then we may construct a perfectoid space $\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{perf}}$ with an endomorphism F^{perf} for which the topological dynamical system $(\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{perf}}, F^{\text{perf}})$ is isomorphic to $(\varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}, T)$ where $T : (x_0, x_1, \cdots) \rightarrow (F^{\text{ad}}(x_0), x_0, \cdots)$ is the shift map on $\varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$. Moreover, we have a natural morphism $\pi : \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$ defined by the projection to the first coordinate. This construction has been stated by Scholze [26, Section 7].

Similarly, we construct a perfectoid space $\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{perf}}$ which is isomorphic to the inverse limit $\varprojlim_{\Phi^s} \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{ad}}$ where Φ is the Frobenius endomorphism on $\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{ad}}$. Denote by $\pi^b : \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{ad}}$ the morphism defined by the projection to the first coordinate. Since Φ is a homeomorphism on the underlying topological space, π^b induces an isomorphism from the topological dynamical system $(\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{perf}}, \Phi^{s,\text{perf}})$ to $(\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{ad}}, \Phi^{s,\text{ad}})$, where Φ^{perf} is the Frobenius on $\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{perf}}$.

By the theory of Perfectoid spaces, there is a natural homeomorphism of topological space $\rho : \mathbb{P}_K^{N, \text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N, \text{perf}}$ satisfying $\Phi^{s, \text{perf}} \circ \rho = \rho \circ F^{\text{perf}}$.

As an example, we explain the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let V be any subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$ such that $V \cap \text{Per}$ is Zariski dense in V .

It is easy to see that the map $\pi \circ \rho^{-1} \circ (\pi^b)^{-1}$ induces a bijection from the set Per^b of periodic points of Φ^s in $\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N(K^b)$ to the set Per of periodic points of F in $\mathbb{P}_K^N(K)$. We note that the set of periodic points of Φ^s in $\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N(K^b)$ is exactly the set of points defined over k i.e. the image of $\eta : \mathbb{P}_k^N(k) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N(K^b)$. We have a reduction map $\mathbf{red} : \mathbb{P}_K^N(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^N(k)$. The map $\eta \circ \mathbf{red} : \text{Per} \rightarrow \text{Per}^b$ is bijective. Moreover, we have that $(\eta \circ \mathbf{red}) \circ (\pi \circ \rho^{-1} \circ (\pi^b)^{-1})$ is identity on Per^b .

Denote by S^b the Zariski closure of $\eta \circ \mathbf{red}(V \cap \text{Per})$. Since S^b is defined over k , it is periodic under Φ^s . The main ingredient of our proof is to show that S^b is a subset of $\pi^b(\rho(\pi^{-1}(V)))$. This is obvious, if $\pi^b(\rho(\pi^{-1}(V)))$ is algebraic. But in general, $\pi^b(\rho(\pi^{-1}(V)))$ is not algebraic, since the map ρ is very transcendental. Our strategy is to approximate $\pi^b(\rho(\pi^{-1}(V)))$ by algebraic subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}_K^N(K)$. To achieve this, we need the approximation lemma [25, Corollary 6.7] of Scholze.

Then we have $S := \pi(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(S^b))) \subseteq V$. Since S is periodic and Zariski dense in V , then V is periodic.

More remarks. Denote by M_d the space of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N$ of degree d , which is an open set in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\binom{d+N}{N}}$. Every point $t \in M_d$ defines an endomorphism F_t of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N$.

In [8], Fakhruddin studied the algebraic dynamics of F_t when t is a very general point in M_d .

Let $d = p^s$ be a power of a prime number p . Let B be an irreducible subvariety of M_d , which contains a point o such that F_o taking form

$$[x_0 : \cdots : x_N] \mapsto [x_0^d + pP_0(x_0, \cdots, x_N) : \cdots : x_N^d + pP_N(x_0, \cdots, x_N)]$$

where $P_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \cdots, x_N]$ are homogenous polynomials of degree d with integral coefficients. Let t be a very general point in B . By some specialization argument, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.6 can be applied to such endomorphism F_t . In particular, they can be applied to the endomorphism $F : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ defined by $[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] \mapsto [x_0^2 + \pi x_1 x_2 : x_1^2 + 2x_0^2 : x_2^2 - (2 + \pi^2)x_0 x_2]$.

Let X be any projective variety over $\mathbb{C}_p$ and $F : X \rightarrow X$ be an endomorphism. We suppose that there exists a proper integral scheme $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}_p^\circ$ whose generic fiber is X and there exists an endomorphism $\tilde{F}$ of $\mathfrak{X}$ over $\mathbb{C}_p^\circ$ such that the restriction of $\tilde{F}$ on the generic fiber is F and the restriction of $\tilde{F}$ on the special fiber is a power of the Frobenius. Then we say that F is a lift of Frobenius on X .

In this paper, we consider only the lifts of Frobenius on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$ for the simplicity, since we think that the aim of this paper is to present this new method in dynamics. In fact, our proofs of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.6, Theorem 1.7 and Corollary 1.8 can be extended to the more general case where F is a lift of Frobenius on any projective variety over $\mathbb{C}_p$.

The plan of the paper. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we gather a number of results on the perfectoid spaces in Scholze's papers [25, 26]. In Section 3, we construct the inverse limit and make it to be a perfectoid space with an automorphism. We also construct its tilt and give the isomorphism between these two topological dynamical systems. In Section 4, we study the periodic points of F . In particular, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Finally in Section 5, we study the coherent backward orbits of a point. In particular, we prove Theorem 1.6, Theorem 1.7 and Corollary 1.8.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Charles Favre, Serge Cantat, Stéphane Lamy, Jean Gillibert, Dragos Ghioca, Thomas Tucker and Peter Scholze for useful discussions. I thank Fabien Mehdi Pazuki for his comments on the first version of this paper. I thank Thomas Scanlon, who tell me his new proof of Theorem 1.1 and let me know the Tate-Voloch conjecture. I especially thank Shou-Wu Zhang, who introduced the theory of perfectoid spaces to me and posed me Question 1.3.

2. PRELIMINARY: PERFECTOID SPACES

In this section, we introduce some necessary background in perfectoid spaces. All the results in this section can be found in Scholze's papers [25, 26]. The perfectoid spaces are some nonarchimedean analytic spaces. Following the technique of Scholze in [25], we work with Huber's adic spaces.

2.1. Adic spaces. In this section, we denote by k a complete nonarchimedean field i.e. a complete topological field whose topology is induced by a nontrivial norm $|\cdot| : k \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Denote by R a topological k -algebra. Moreover we suppose that R is a Tate k -algebra i.e. there exists a subring $R_0 \subseteq R$, such that aR_0 , $a \in k^\times$, forms a basis of open neighborhoods of 0.

A subset $M \subseteq R$ is called bounded if $M \subseteq aR$, for some $a \in k^\times$. An element $x \in R$ is called power-bounded if $\{x^n \mid n \geq 0\} \subseteq R$ is bounded. Let $R^\circ \subseteq R$ be the subring of power-bounded elements.

Definition 2.1 ([25]). An affinoid k -algebra is a pair (R, R^+) , where R is a Tate k -algebra and R^+ is an open and integrally closed subring of R° .

A valuation on R is a map $|\cdot| : R \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{0\}$, where Γ is a totally ordered abelian group, such that, $|0| = 0$, $|1| = 1$, $|xy| = |x||y|$ and $|x+y| \leq \max\{|x|, |y|\}$. We say that $|\cdot|$ is continuous, if for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the subset $\{x \in R \mid |x| < \gamma\} \subseteq R$ is open.

To a pair (R, R^+) , Huber associates a space $\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ of equivalence classes of continuous valuations $|\cdot|$ on R such that $|R^+| \leq 1$, and call it an *affinoid space*.

For a point $x \in \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$, we denote by $f \mapsto |f(x)|$ the associated valuation. It is a fact that

$$R^+ = \{f \in R \mid |f(x)| \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)\}.$$

We equip $\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ with the topology generated by rational subsets:

$$U(f_1, \dots, f_n; g) = \{x \in \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+) \mid |f_i(x)| \leq |g(x)|\} \subseteq \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$$

where $f_1, \dots, f_n \in R$ generate R as an ideal and $g \in R$.

The completion $(\widehat{R}, \widehat{R}^+)$ of an affinoid algebra (R, R^+) is also an affinoid algebra. Then we recall [16, Proposition 3.9]

Proposition 2.2. *We have $\mathrm{Spa}(\widehat{R}, \widehat{R}^+) \simeq \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$, identifying rational subsets.*

We say a point $x \in \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ is a *rigid point*, if $\{f \in R \mid |f(x)| = 0\}$ is a maximal ideal of R .

One defines *adic spaces* over K to be the objects obtained by gluing affinoid spaces. The morphisms between the adic spaces are the morphisms glued by the morphisms between affinoid spaces. We say a point $x \in X$ is a rigid point, if it is rigid in any (and then all) affinoid chart of X .

2.2. Perfectoid fields. Denote by K a complete nonarchimedean field of residue characteristic $p > 0$ with norm $|\cdot| : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Denote by $K^\circ := \{x \in K \mid |x| \leq 1\}$ its valuation ring.

Definition 2.3. We say K is a *perfectoid field* if $|K| \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and the Frobenius map $\Phi : K^\circ/p \rightarrow K^\circ/p$ is surjective.

Observe that $\mathbb{C}_p$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_p((t))}$ are perfectoid fields. Set $\mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty}) := \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^i})$ and $\mathbb{F}_p((t))(t^{1/p^\infty}) := \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathbb{F}_p((t))(t^{1/p^i})$. Then their completions $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty})}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_p((t))(t^{1/p^\infty})}$ are perfectoid fields. Note that $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is not a perfectoid field, since $|\mathbb{Q}_p| = 0 \cup \{p^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is not dense.

For any perfectoid field K , we choose some element $\omega \in K^\times$ such that $|p| \leq |\omega| < 1$. We define

$$K^{b\circ} := \varprojlim_{x \mapsto \Phi(x)} K^\circ / \omega.$$

Recall [25, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 2.4. (i) *There exists a multiplicative homeomorphism*

$$\varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} K^\circ \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{x \mapsto \Phi(x)} K^\circ / \omega = K^{b\circ}$$

given by projection. In particular, the definition of $K^{b\circ}$ is independent of ω . Moreover, we have a map

$$K^{b\circ} = \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} K^\circ = \{(x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots) \mid x^{(i)} \in K^\circ, (x^{(i+1)})^p = x^{(i)}\} \rightarrow K^\circ$$

defined by

$$x = (x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots) \rightarrow x^\# := x^{(0)}.$$

We may define a norm on $K^{b\circ}$ by $|x^\#| = |x|$ for all $x \in K^{b\circ}$.

(ii) *There exists an element $\omega^b \in \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} K^\circ$, satisfying $(\omega^b)^\# = \omega$. Define*

$$K^b := K^{b\circ}[(\omega^b)^{-1}].$$

Then norm $|\cdot|$ on $K^{b\circ}$ extends to a norm on K^b which makes $K^{b\circ}$ to be the valuation ring of K^b .

(iii) *There exists a multiplicative homeomorphism*

$$K^b \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} K.$$

Then K^b is a perfectoid field of characteristic p . We have $|K^{b\times}| = |K^\times|$, $K^{b\circ}/\omega^b \simeq K^\circ/\omega$, and $K^{b\circ}/\mathfrak{m}^b \simeq K^\circ/\mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{m}$, resp. $\mathfrak{m}^b$, is the maximal ideal of K° , resp. $K^{b\circ}$.

(iv) *If K is of characteristic p , then $K^b = K$.*

We call K^b the *tilt* of K .

Example 2.5. The tilt of $\mathbb{C}_p$ is $\mathbb{C}_p^b = \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p((t))}$.

Then we have the following theorem, which was known by the classical work of Fontaine-Wintenberger

Theorem 2.6. (i) *Let L be a finite extension of K . Then L with its natural topology induced by K is a perfectoid field.*

- (ii) *The tilt functor $L \mapsto L^b$ induces an equivalence of categories between the category of finite extensions of K and the category of finite extensions of K^b . This equivalence preserves degrees.*

2.3. Almost mathematics. Let K be a perfectoid field and $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of K° .

Definition 2.7. Let M be a K° -module. An element $x \in M$ is almost zero if $\mathfrak{m}x = 0$. The module M is almost zero if $\mathfrak{m}M = 0$.

The full subcategory of almost zero modules is a thick subcategory in $K^\circ\text{-mod}$ i.e. for any short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

of K° -modules, M is almost zero if and only if both M' and M'' are almost zero.

Define the category of almost K° -modules as

$$K^{\circ a} - \text{mod} := K^\circ - \text{mod} / (\mathfrak{m} - \text{torsion}).$$

We have a localization functor $M \mapsto M^a$ from $K^\circ\text{-mod}$ to $K^{\circ a}\text{-mod}$, whose kernel is the thick subcategory of almost zero modules.

A result of Gabber and Ramero yields:

Proposition 2.8 ([10]). *Let M, N be two K° -modules. Then we have*

$$\text{Hom}_{K^{\circ a}}(M^a, N^a) = \text{Hom}_{K^\circ}(\mathfrak{m} \otimes M, N).$$

In particular, $\text{Hom}_{K^{\circ a}}(X, Y)$ has a natural structure of K° -module for any two $K^{\circ a}$ -modules X, Y . The module $\text{Hom}_{K^{\circ a}}(X, Y)$ has no almost zero elements.

For two $K^{\circ a}$ -modules M, N , we define $\text{alHom}(X, Y) = \text{Hom}(X, Y)^a$.

Proposition 2.9 ([10]). *The Category $K^{\circ a}\text{-mod}$ is an abelian tensor category, where we define kernels, cokernels and tensor products in the unique way compatible with their definition in $K^\circ - \text{mod}$, that is*

$$M^a \otimes N^a = (M \otimes N)^a$$

for any two K° -modules M, N . For any $L, M, N \in K^{\circ a}\text{-mod}$ there is a functorial isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(L, \text{alHom}(M, N)) = \text{Hom}(L \otimes M, N).$$

This means that $K^{\circ a}\text{-mod}$ has all properties of the category of modules over a ring and thus one can define the notion of $K^{\circ a}$ -algebra. Any K° -algebra R defines a $K^{\circ a}$ -algebra R^a as the tensor products are compatible. Moreover, localization also gives a functor from R -modules to R^a -modules.

Proposition 2.10 ([10]). *There exists a right adjoint functor*

$$K^{\circ a} - \text{mod} \rightarrow K^\circ - \text{mod} : M \mapsto M_* := \text{Hom}_{K^{\circ a}}(K^{\circ a}, M).$$

to the localization functor $M \mapsto M^a$. The adjunction morphism $(M_*)^a \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism. If M is a K° -module, then $(M^a)_* = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{m}, M)$.

If A is a $K^{\circ a}$ -algebra, then A_* has a natural structure as K° -algebra and $(A^a)_* = A$. In particular, any $K^{\circ a}$ -algebra comes via localization from a K° -algebra. Furthermore the functor $M \mapsto M_*$ induces a functor from A -modules to A_* -modules, and one can see also that all A -modules come via localization from A_* -modules. The category of A -modules is again an abelian tensor category, and all properties about the category of $K^{\circ a}$ -modules stay true for the category of A -modules. Observe that we could equivalently define A -algebras as algebras over the category of A -modules, or as $K^{\circ a}$ -algebras B with an algebra morphism $A \rightarrow B$.

Let A be any $K^{\circ a}$ -algebra. As in [25], a A -module M is said to be flat if the functor $X \mapsto M \otimes_A X$ on A -modules is exact.

Denote by ω an element in K° satisfying $|p| \leq |\omega| < 1$. Let A be a K^a -algebra, we say A is ω -adically complete if $A \simeq \varprojlim A/\omega^n$.

2.4. Perfectoid algebra. Fix a perfectoid field K and an element $\omega \in K^\circ$ satisfying $|p| \leq |\omega| < 1$.

Definition 2.11. (i) A *perfectoid K -algebra* is a Banach K -algebra R such that the subset $R^\circ \subseteq R$ of powerbounded elements is open and bounded, and the Frobenius morphism $\Phi : R^\circ/\omega \rightarrow R^\circ/\omega$ is surjective. Morphisms between perfectoid K -algebras are the continuous morphisms of K -algebras.
(ii) A *perfectoid $K^{\circ a}$ -algebra* is a ω -adically complete flat $K^{\circ a}$ -algebra A on which Frobenius induces an isomorphism

$$\Phi : A/\omega^{1/p} \simeq A/\omega.$$

Morphism between perfectoid $K^{\circ a}$ -algebras are the morphisms of $K^{\circ a}$ -algebras.

(iii) A *perfectoid $K^{\circ a}/\omega$ -algebra* is a flat $K^{\circ a}/\omega$ -algebra $\bar{A}$ on which Frobenius induces an isomorphism

$$\Phi : \bar{A}/\omega^{1/p} \simeq \bar{A}.$$

Morphisms are the morphisms of $K^{\circ a}/\omega$ -algebras.

Let $K - \text{Perf}$ denote the category of perfectoid K -algebras and similarly for $K^{\circ a} - \text{Perf}$ and $K^{\circ a}/\omega - \text{Perf}$. Let K^b be the tilt of K and ω^b is an element in K^b satisfying $(\omega^b)^\# = \omega$.

We recall [25, Theorem 5.2].

Theorem 2.12. *We have the following series of equivalences of categories:*

$$K - \text{Perf} \simeq K^{\circ a} - \text{Perf} \simeq (K^{\circ a}/\omega) - \text{Perf} = (K^{ba}/\omega^b) - \text{Perf} \simeq K^{ba} - \text{Perf} \simeq K^b - \text{Perf}.$$

In other words, a perfectoid K -algebra, which is an object over the generic fibre, has a canonical extension to the almost integral level as a perfectoid $K^{\circ a}$ -algebra, and perfectoid $K^{\circ a}$ -algebras are determined by their reduction modulo ω .

Let R be a perfectoid $K^{\circ a}$ -algebra, with $A = R^{\circ a}$. Define

$$A^b := \varprojlim_{\Phi} A/\omega,$$

which we regard as a $K^{b\circ a}$ -algebra via

$$K^{b\circ a} = (\varprojlim_{\Phi} K^{\circ}/\omega)^a = \varprojlim_{\Phi} (K^{\circ}/\omega)^a = \varprojlim_{\Phi} K^{\circ a}/\omega,$$

and set $R^b = A_*^b[(\omega^b)^{-1}]$.

Proposition 2.13. *This defines a perfectoid K^b -algebra R^b with corresponding perfectoid $K^{b\circ a}$ -algebra A^b , and R^b is the tilt of R . Moreover,*

$$R^b = \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} R, A_*^b = \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} A_*, \text{ and } A_*^b/\omega^b \simeq A_*/\omega.$$

In particular, we have a continuous multiplicative map $R^b = \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} R \rightarrow R$,

$$x = (x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots) \mapsto x^{\#} := x^{(0)}.$$

Then the equivalence $K - \text{Perf} \rightarrow K^b - \text{Perf}$ in Theorem 2.12 is given by $R \mapsto R^b$.

Proposition 2.14. *Let $R = K\langle T_1^{1/p^\infty}, \dots, T_n^{1/p^\infty} \rangle = K^{\circ}[T_1^{1/p^\infty}, \dots, T_n^{1/p^\infty}][\omega^{-1}]$. Then R is a perfectoid K -algebra, and its tilts R^b is given by $K^b\langle T_1^{1/p^\infty}, \dots, T_n^{1/p^\infty} \rangle$.*

2.5. Perfectoid spaces. Fix a perfectoid field K and an element $\omega \in K^{\circ}$ satisfying $|p| \leq |\omega| < 1$. Let K^b be the tilt of K and ω^b is an element in K^b satisfying $(\omega^b)^{\#} = \omega$.

Definition 2.15. An *perfectoid affinoid K -algebra* is an affinoid K -algebra (R, R^+) , where R is a perfectoid K -algebra, and $R^+ \subseteq R^{\circ}$ is an open and integrally closed subring.

Proposition 2.16. *The association $(R, R^+) \mapsto (R^b, R^{b+})$, where $R^{b+} = \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} R^+$,*

defines an equivalence between the category of perfectoid affinoid K -algebras and the category of perfectoid affinoid K^b algebras.

Theorem 2.17. *For any $x \in \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$, one may define a point $x^b \in \text{Spa}(R^b, R^{b+})$ by setting $|f(x^b)| := |f^{\#}(x)|$ for $f \in R^b$. This defines a homeomorphism $\rho : \text{Spa}(R, R^+) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spa}(R^b, R^{b+})$ preserving rational subsets.*

Denote by $X := \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ and $X^b := \text{Spa}(R^b, R^{b+})$. We note that in general the map $R^b \rightarrow R : f \rightarrow f^{\#}$ is not surjective. For any f in R , $\rho_* f := f \circ \rho^{-1}$ is a continuous function on X^b but in general is not contained in R^b .

We have the following approximation lemma [25, Corollary 6.7].

Lemma 2.18. *For any $f \in R$ and any $c \geq 0, \epsilon > 0$, there exists $g_{c,\epsilon} \in R^b$ such that for all $x \in X$, we have*

$$|f(x) - g_{c,\epsilon}^{\#}(x)| \leq |\omega|^{1-\epsilon} \max(|f(x)|, |\omega|^c).$$

Remark 2.19. Note that for $\epsilon < 1$, the given estimate says in particular that for all $x \in X$, we have

$$\max\{|f(x)|, |\omega|^c\} = \max\{|g_{c,\epsilon}^\#(x)|, |\omega|^c\}.$$

Remark 2.20. Let $R := K\langle x_1, \dots, x_N \rangle$ and $R^+ := R^\circ = K^\circ\langle x_1, \dots, x_N \rangle$. Then $R^b = K^b\langle x_1, \dots, x_N \rangle$ and $R^{b+} = K^{b\circ}\langle x_1, \dots, x_N \rangle$.

By Lemma 2.18, for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists an element $g_c \in K^{b0}\langle x_1^{1/p^\infty}, \dots, x_N^{1/p^\infty} \rangle$ such that for all $x \in U_0^{\text{perf}}$, we have

$$|H \circ \pi(x) - g_c^\#(x)| \leq |p|^{1/2} \max(|H \circ \pi(x)|, |p|^c).$$

There exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and an element $G_c \in K^{b0}[x_1^{1/p^l}, \dots, x_N^{1/p^l}]$ such that $g_c - G_c \in t^{c+1}K^{b0}\langle x_1^{1/p^\infty}, \dots, x_N^{1/p^\infty} \rangle$. It follows that for all $x \in U_0^{\text{perf}}$, we have

$$|H \circ \pi(x) - G_c^\#(x)| \leq |p|^{1/2} \max(|H(x)|, |p|^c) = |p|^{1/2} \max(|G_c^\#(x)|, |p|^c),$$

and $G^{p^l} \in K^{b0}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$.

Moreover, when $K^b = \widehat{\overline{F_p((t))}}$, we may make that $G_c \subseteq E^\circ[x_1^{1/p^l}, \dots, x_N^{1/p^l}]$ where E is a finite extension of $\overline{F_p((t))}$.

Then we describe the structure sheaf O_X on $X := \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$. Let $U = U(f_1, \dots, f_n; g) \subseteq X$ be a rational subset. Equip $R[g^{-1}]$ with the topology making the image of $R^+[f_1/g, \dots, f_n/g] \rightarrow R[g^{-1}]$ to be open and bounded. Let $R\langle f_1/g, \dots, f_n/g \rangle$ be the completion of $R[g^{-1}]$ with respect to this topology. It equip with a subring

$$R\langle f_1/g, \dots, f_n/g \rangle^+ \subseteq R\langle f_1/g, \dots, f_n/g \rangle$$

which is the completion of integral closure of $R^+[f_1/g, \dots, f_n/g]$. By [17, Proposition 1.3], the pair $(O_X(U), O_X^+(U)) := (R\langle f_1/g, \dots, f_n/g \rangle, R\langle f_1/g, \dots, f_n/g \rangle^+)$ depends only on the rational subset $U \subseteq X$ (and not on the choice of $f_1, \dots, f_n, g \in R$). The map

$$\text{Spa}(O_X(U), O_X^+(U)) \rightarrow \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$$

is a homeomorphism onto U , preserving rational subsets. Moreover, $(O_X(U), O_X^+(U))$ is initial with respect to this property.

By [25, Theorem 6.3], we have the following

Theorem 2.21. *For any rational subset $U \subseteq X$, let $U^b := \rho(U) \subseteq X^b$.*

- (i) *The presheaves O_X, O_{X^b} are sheaves.*
- (ii) *For any rational subset $U \subseteq X$, the pair $(O_X(U), O_X^+(U))$ is a perfectoid affinoid K -algebra, which tilts to $(O_{X^b}(U^b), O_{X^b}^+(U^b))$.*

The resulting spaces $\text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ equipped with the two structure sheaves of topological rings O_X, O_X^+ are called *affinoid perfectoid spaces* over K . The morphisms between the affinoid perfectoid spaces over K are the morphisms induced by the morphisms between affinoid perfectoid K -algebras.

One defines *perfectoid spaces* over K to be the objects obtained by gluing affinoid perfectoid spaces. The morphisms between the perfectoid spaces are the morphisms glued by the morphisms between affinoid perfectoid spaces.

We say that a perfectoid space X^b over K^b is the tilt of a perfectoid space X over K if there exists a functorial isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Spa}(R^b, R^{b+}), X^b) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+), X)$ for all perfectoid affinoid K -algebras (R, R^+) with tilts (R^b, R^{b+}) .

Theorem 2.22. *Any perfectoid space X over K admits a tilt X^b , unique up to isomorphism. This induces an equivalence between the category of perfectoid spaces over K and the category of perfectoid spaces over K^b . The underlying topological spaces of X and X^b are naturally identified by ρ . A perfectoid space X is affinoid perfectoid if and only if its tilt X^b is affinoid perfectoid. Finally, for any affinoid perfectoid subspace $U \subseteq X$, the pair $(O_X(U), O_X^+(U))$ is a perfectoid affinoid K -algebra with tilt $(O_{X^b}(U^b), O_{X^b}^+(U^b))$.*

For any morphism $F : X \rightarrow Y$ between perfectoid spaces over K , denote by $F^b : X^b \rightarrow Y^b$ the morphism between perfectoid spaces over K^b induced by the equivalence of categories.

2.6. Points in the perfectoid spaces. Fix a perfectoid field K and an element $\omega \in K^\circ$ satisfying $|p| \leq |\omega| < 1$. Let X be a perfectoid space over K .

For any point $x \in X$, let $K(x)$ be the residue field of $O_{X,x}$ and $K(x)^+ \subseteq K(x)$ be the image of $O_{X,x}^+$. By [25, Proposition 2.25], the ω -adic completion of $O_{X,x}^+$ is equal to the ω -adic completion $\widehat{K(x)}^+$ of $\widehat{K(x)}$. By [25, Corollary 6.7], $\widehat{K(x)}$ is a perfectoid field.

Definition 2.23. An affinoid perfectoid field is a pair (K, K^+) consisting of a perfectoid field and an open valuation subring $K^+ \subseteq K$.

Then $(\widehat{K(x)}, \widehat{K(x)}^+)$ is an affinoid perfectoid field. Also note that affinoid perfectoid fields (L, L^+) for which $K \subseteq L$ are affinoid K -algebra.

Observe that x is a rigid point if and only if $O_{X,x} = K(x)$ is a perfectoid field. In this case $K(x) = \widehat{K(x)}$ is complete and $K(x)^+ = K(x)^\circ$.

In particular, by [25, Lemma 5.21], we have the following

Lemma 2.24. *For any point $x \in X$, x is a rigid point if and only if x^b is a rigid point in X^b .*

Then we have the following description of points [25, Proposition 2.27]

Proposition 2.25. *The points of X are in bijection with maps $\iota : \mathrm{Spa}(L, L^+) \rightarrow X$ to affinoid fields (L, L^+) such that the image of the quotient field of $O_{X,x}$ in L is dense, where x is the image of $\mathrm{Spa}(L, L^+)$ in X .*

Any point $x \in X$ associates to a maps $\iota : \mathrm{Spa}(\widehat{K(x)}, \widehat{K(x)}^+) \rightarrow X$. By the equivalence of categories, the point $x^b \in X^b$ associates to a maps

$$\iota^b : \mathrm{Spa}(\widehat{K(x)}^b, \widehat{K(x)}^{b+}) \rightarrow X^b.$$

It follows $\widehat{K^b(x^b)} = (\widehat{K(x)})^b$.

3. INVERSE LIMIT OF LIFTS OF FROBENIUS

In this section, fix a perfectoid field K . Denote by $p > 0$ the characteristic of the residue field $K^\circ/K^{\circ\circ}$ of K . Let $\omega \in K^\circ$ be an element satisfying $|p| \leq |\omega| < 1$.

Let $F : \mathbb{P}_K^N \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^N$ be a lift of Frobenius i.e. an endomorphism taking form

$$F : [x_0 : \cdots : x_N] \mapsto [x_0^q + pP_0(x_0, \cdots, x_N) : \cdots : x_N^q + pP_N(x_0, \cdots, x_N)]$$

where $q = p^s$ is a power of p , $P_0, \cdots, P_N$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree q in $K^\circ[x_0, \cdots, x_N]$.

3.1. Adic projective spaces. At first, we define an adic space $\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$ associate to the projective space $\mathbb{P}_K^N$. In fact, by [25, Theorem 2.22], for any projective variety X defined over K with an integral model $\mathfrak{X}$ over K° , we may associate an adic space X^{ad} . But in this paper, we don't need the general theory and we define $\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$ in the following explicit way:

For any $i \in \{0, \cdots, N\}$, denote by

$$U_i^{\text{ad}} := \text{Spa}(K\langle z_{i,0}, \cdots, z_{i,i-1}, z_{i,i+1}, \cdots, z_{i,N} \rangle, K\langle z_{i,0}, \cdots, z_{i,i-1}, z_{i,i+1}, \cdots, z_{i,N} \rangle^\circ).$$

Then we define $\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$ by gluing U_i^{ad} together in the usual way: For any $i \neq j$, $U_i^{\text{ad}} \cap U_j^{\text{ad}} = U(1, z_{i,0}, \cdots, z_{i,i-1}, z_{i,i+1}, \cdots, z_{i,N}; z_{i,j}) \subseteq U_i^{\text{ad}}$. On $U_i^{\text{ad}} \cap U_j^{\text{ad}}$, the transition map $\phi_{i,j}$ is defined by

$$\phi_{i,j}^*(z_{j,k}) = z_{i,k}/z_{i,j} \text{ for } k \neq i, j; \text{ and } \phi_{i,j}^*(z_{j,i}) = 1/z_{i,j}.$$

Denote by $R(\mathbb{P}_K^N)$ the set of closed points of $\mathbb{P}_K^N$ and by $R(\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}})$ the set of rigid points in $\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a natural embedding $\tau : R(\mathbb{P}_K^N) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$. Moreover its image $\tau(R(\mathbb{P}_K^N)) = R(\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}})$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.1. For any point $q \in R(\mathbb{P}_K^N)$, we may associate it to the Galois orbit of a point $q' = [x_0 : \cdots : x_N] \in \mathbb{P}_L^N$ where L is a finite extension of K . We may suppose that $\max\{|x_0|, \cdots, |x_N|\} = 1$ for all $j = 0, \dots, N$. Denote by $I_q := \{i \mid |x_i| = 1\}$. Observe that I_q depends only on q . Pick $i \in I_q$, we define $\tau(q) \in U_i$ to be the point defined by $f \mapsto |f(x_1/x_i, \dots, x_n/x_i)|$, for all $f \in K\langle z_{i,0}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}, z_{i,i+1}, \dots, z_{i,N} \rangle$. Here $f(x_1/x_i, \dots, x_n/x_i) \in L$ depends on the choose of q' in its Galois orbit, but the value $|f(x_1/x_i, \dots, x_n/x_i)|$ depends only on q . Moreover we may check that the definition of $\tau(q)$ does not depend on the choose of $i \in I_q$. Then τ is well defined. Moreover it is easy to check that τ is injective and $\tau(R(\mathbb{P}_K^N)) \subseteq R(\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}})$. By [4, 6.1.2 Corollary 3], the map $\tau : R(\mathbb{P}_K^N) \rightarrow R(\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}})$ is surjective. $\square$

3.2. Lifts of Frobenius on $\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$. The endomorphism F induces a natural endomorphism F^{ad} on $\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$. We define F^{ad} in the following explicit way. For any $i = 0, \dots, N$, $F^{\text{ad}}|_{U_i^{\text{ad}}} : U_i^{\text{ad}} \rightarrow U_i^{\text{ad}}$ is defined to be

$$F^*(z_{i,j}) = \frac{z_{i,j}^q + pP_j(z_{i,0}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}, 1, z_{i,i+1}, \dots, z_{i,N})}{1 + pP_i(z_{i,0}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}, 1, z_{i,i+1}, \dots, z_{i,N})}$$

for all $j \neq i$. We may write

$$\frac{z_{i,j}^q + pP_j(z_{i,0}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}, 1, z_{i,i+1}, \dots, z_{i,N})}{1 + pP_i(z_{i,0}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}, 1, z_{i,i+1}, \dots, z_{i,N})} = z_{i,j}^q + pQ_{i,j}(z_{i,0}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}, z_{i,i+1}, \dots, z_{i,N})$$

where $Q_{i,j} \in K^\circ \langle z_{i,0}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}, z_{i,i+1}, \dots, z_{i,N} \rangle$. For any $i \neq j$, we may check that $F_i^{\text{ad}}(U_i^{\text{ad}} \cap U_j^{\text{ad}}) \subseteq U_i^{\text{ad}} \cap U_j^{\text{ad}}$ and

$$F_i^{\text{ad}}|_{U_i^{\text{ad}} \cap U_j^{\text{ad}}} = F_j^{\text{ad}}|_{U_i^{\text{ad}} \cap U_j^{\text{ad}}}.$$

Then we may glue these F_i^{ad} to define $F^{\text{ad}} : \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$. Observe that we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}(\mathbb{P}_K^N) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}} \\ F|_{R(\mathbb{P}_K^N)} \downarrow & & \downarrow F^{\text{ad}} \\ \mathbb{R}(\mathbb{P}_K^N) & \xrightarrow{\tau^b} & \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}} \end{array}$$

Now we identify $\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{P}_K^N)$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N)$) with the image of τ (resp. τ^b) in $\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{ad}}$).

3.3. The inverse limit. The inverse limit $\varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$ is the topological space $\{(x_0, x_1, \dots) \in (\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}})^{\mathbb{N}} \mid F^{\text{ad}}(x_i) = x_{i-1} \text{ for all } i \geq 1\}$ with the product topology. There exists a natural automorphism T on $\varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$ defined by

$$T : (x_0, x_1, \dots) \rightarrow (F^{\text{ad}}(x_0), x_0, x_1, \dots).$$

The aim of this section is to construct a perfectoid space $(\mathbb{P}_K^N)^{\text{perf}}$ with an automorphism F^{perf} such that the topological dynamical system $((\mathbb{P}_K^N)^{\text{perf}}, F^{\text{perf}})$ is isomorphic to $(\varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}, T)$.

Since $(F^{\text{ad}})^{-1}(U_i^{\text{ad}}) \subseteq U_i^{\text{ad}}$ for all $i = 0, \dots, N$, we have

$$\varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}} = \cup_{i=0}^N (\varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} U_i^{\text{ad}}).$$

Moreover we have $T(U_i^{\text{ad}}) \subseteq U_i^{\text{ad}}$. It follows that we only need to construct a perfectoid affinoid space U_i^{perf} with an automorphism F_i^{perf} such that the topological dynamical system $(U_i^{\text{perf}}, F_i^{\text{perf}})$ is isomorphic to $(\varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} U_i^{\text{ad}}, T|_{U_i^{\text{ad}}})$ and check

that they can be glued together.

Denote by $R_i^n := K \langle z_{i,0}^{(n)}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}^{(n)}, z_{i,i+1}^{(n)}, \dots, z_{i,N}^{(n)} \rangle$ for all $i = 0, \dots, N$ and $n \geq 0$. We identify $z_{i,j}^{(0)}$ and $z_{i,j}$ for $i \neq j$. For every $n \geq 0$, we have an embedding $R_i^n \hookrightarrow R_i^{n+1}$ defined by

$$z_{i,j}^{(n)} \mapsto (z_{i,j}^{(n+1)})^q + pQ_{i,j}(z_{i,0}^{(n+1)}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}^{(n+1)}, z_{i,i+1}^{(n+1)}, \dots, z_{i,N}^{(n+1)})$$

where $Q_{i,j}$ is defined in Section 3.2. Then we denote by

$$R_i := K \langle z_{i,0}^{(\infty)}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}^{(\infty)}, z_{i,i+1}^{(\infty)}, \dots, z_{i,N}^{(\infty)} \rangle$$

the completion of $\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_i^n$. Denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm on R_i induced by the norms on $R_i^n, n \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *For every $i = 1, \dots, N$, R_i is a perfectoid K -algebra with*

$$R_i^{\circ} = K^{\circ} \langle z_{i,0}^{(\infty)}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}^{(\infty)}, z_{i,i+1}^{(\infty)}, \dots, z_{i,N}^{(\infty)} \rangle.$$

Its tilt is given by $R_i^b = K^b \langle z_{i,0}^{1/p^{\infty}}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}^{1/p^{\infty}}, z_{i,i+1}^{1/p^{\infty}}, \dots, z_{i,N}^{1/p^{\infty}} \rangle$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Observe that $K^{\circ} \langle z_{i,0}^{(\infty)}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}^{(\infty)}, z_{i,i+1}^{(\infty)}, \dots, z_{i,N}^{(\infty)} \rangle$ is the completion of $\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} (R_i^n)^{\circ}$. It is easy to check that

$$K^{\circ} \langle z_{i,0}^{(\infty)}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}^{(\infty)}, z_{i,i+1}^{(\infty)}, \dots, z_{i,N}^{(\infty)} \rangle \subseteq R_i^{\circ}.$$

For any $f \in R_i$, there exists a sequence $f_n \in R_i^n$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. There exists $M \geq 0$, such that for all $m, n \geq M$, $\|f_n - f_m\| \leq 1$. It follows that $f_n - f_m \in (R_i^n)^{\circ}$ for all $n \geq M$. Then $f - f_m \in \widehat{\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} (R_i^n)^{\circ}}$. If $\|f_m\| \leq 1$, we have $f_m \in (R_i^M)^{\circ}$ and then $f \in \widehat{\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} (R_i^n)^{\circ}}$. If $\|f_m\| > 1$, we have $\|f^n\| = \|f_m^n\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then f is not power bounded. It follows that

$$R_i^{\circ} \subseteq K^{\circ} \langle z_{i,0}^{(\infty)}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}^{(\infty)}, z_{i,i+1}^{(\infty)}, \dots, z_{i,N}^{(\infty)} \rangle.$$

It follows that R_i° is open and bounded.

We have $R_i^{\circ}/\omega = (K^{\circ}/\omega) \langle z_{i,0}^{(\infty)}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}^{(\infty)}, z_{i,i+1}^{(\infty)}, \dots, z_{i,N}^{(\infty)} \rangle$ is the completion of $\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_i^n/\omega$. The embedding $R_i^{\circ}/\omega \rightarrow R_i^n/\omega$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} z_{i,j}^{(n)} \mod \omega &\mapsto (z_{i,j}^{(n+1)})^q + pQ_{i,j}(z_{i,0}^{(n+1)}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}^{(n+1)}, z_{i,i+1}^{(n+1)}, \dots, z_{i,N}^{(n+1)}) \mod \omega \\ &= (z_{i,j}^{(n+1)})^q \mod \omega. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$R_i^{\circ}/\omega = (K^{\circ}/\omega) \langle z_{i,0}^{1/p^{\infty}}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}^{1/p^{\infty}}, z_{i,i+1}^{1/p^{\infty}}, \dots, z_{i,N}^{1/p^{\infty}} \rangle.$$

Then the Frobenius morphism $\Phi : R_i^{\circ}/\omega \rightarrow R_i^{\circ}/\omega$ is surjective. It follows that R_i is a perfectoid K -algebra.

By Proposition 2.14 and the categorical equivalence in Theorem 2.12, we have $R_i^b = K^b \langle z_{i,0}^{1/p^{\infty}}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}^{1/p^{\infty}}, z_{i,i+1}^{1/p^{\infty}}, \dots, z_{i,N}^{1/p^{\infty}} \rangle$. □

We define $U_i^{\text{perf}} := \text{Spa}(R_i, R_i^{\circ})$ and $F_i^{\text{perf}} : U_i^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow U_i^{\text{perf}}$ the map induced by the morphism $R_i \rightarrow R_i$ defined by

$$z_{i,j}^{(n)} \rightarrow z_{i,j}^{(n-1)} \text{ for all } n \geq 1 \text{ and } z_{i,j}^{(0)} \rightarrow (z_{i,j}^{(0)})^q + pQ_{i,j}(z_{i,0}^{(0)}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}^{(0)}, z_{i,i+1}^{(0)}, \dots, z_{i,N}^{(0)}).$$

Then we define $(\mathbb{P}_K^N)^{\text{perf}}$ by gluing U_i^{perf} together in the usual way: For any $i \neq j$, $U_i^{\text{perf}} \cap U_j^{\text{perf}} = U(1, z_{i,0}^{(0)}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}^{(0)}, z_{i,i+1}^{(0)}, \dots, z_{i,N}^{(0)}; z_{i,j}^{(0)}) \subseteq U_i^{\text{perf}}$. On $U_i^{\text{perf}} \cap U_j^{\text{perf}}$, the transition map $\phi_{i,j}$ is defined to be

$$(\phi_{i,j}^{\text{perf}})^*(z_{j,k}^{(n)}) = z_{i,k}^{(n)} / z_{i,j}^{(n)} \text{ for } k \neq i, j; \text{ and } (\phi_{i,j}^{\text{perf}})^*(z_{j,i}^{(n)}) = 1 / z_{i,j}^{(n)}.$$

It is easy to check that for all $i \neq j$,

$$F_i^{\text{perf}}(U_i^{\text{perf}} \cap U_j^{\text{perf}}) \subseteq U_i^{\text{perf}} \cap U_j^{\text{perf}}$$

and $F_i^{\text{perf}} = F_j^{\text{perf}}$ on $U_i^{\text{perf}} \cap U_j^{\text{perf}}$. Then we define F^{perf} by gluing F_i^{perf} for $i = 0, \dots, N$.

Then we have the following

Theorem 3.3. *There exists a natural homeomorphism $\psi : (\mathbb{P}_K^N)^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$ makes the following diagram to be commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{perf}} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}} \\ \downarrow F^{\text{perf}} & & \downarrow T \\ \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{perf}} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}} \end{array}$$

In other word, the topological dynamical systems $(\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{perf}}, F^{\text{perf}})$ and $(\varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}, T)$ are isomorphic by ψ .

Moreover a point $x \in \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{perf}}$ whose image $\psi(x) = (x_0, x_1, \dots)$ is rigid if and only if for every $n \geq 0$, x_n is rigid.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Denote by $B_i := \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_i^n$. We have $B_i^{\circ} = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_i^{n^{\circ}}$. Then $\text{Spa}(B, B^{\circ})$ is an affinoid space and we have $R_i = \widehat{B_i}$, $R_i^{\circ} = \widehat{B_i^{\circ}}$. By Proposition 2.2, then natural morphism $\mu_i : \text{Spa}(R_i, R_i^{\circ}) \rightarrow \text{Spa}(B_i, B_i^{\circ})$ is a homeomorphism.

Denote by $\psi_i^n : U_i^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow U_i^{\text{ad}}$ the map induced by the morphism

$$K\langle z_{i,0}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}, z_{i,i+1}, \dots, z_{i,N} \rangle \rightarrow R_i^n \subseteq B_i \subseteq R_i$$

by sending $z_{i,j} \rightarrow z_{i,j}^{(n)}$. It is easy to check that ψ_i^n could be glued to a map $\psi^n : \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$.

Since $F^{\text{ad}} \circ \psi^{n+1} = \psi^n$ for all $n \geq 0$, it induces a map

$$\psi := \varprojlim_n \psi^n : \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{perf}} \rightarrow \varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}.$$

By checking in the affinoid spaces U_i^{perf} 's, it is easy to check that $T \circ \psi = \psi \circ F^{\text{perf}}$.

So we only need to show that ψ is a homeomorphism. We only need to show it in U_i . Denote by

$$\psi_i := \psi|_{U_i^{\text{perf}}} = \varprojlim_n \psi_i^n.$$

Now we define a morphism $\theta_i : \varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} U_i^{\text{ad}} \rightarrow \text{Spa}(B_i, B_i^{\circ})$ as the following. Let $(x_0, x_1, \dots)$ be a point in $\varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} U_i^{\text{ad}}$. For every $n \geq 0$, we identify $U_i \rightarrow \text{Spa}(R_i^n, R_i^{n^{\circ}})$

by $z_{i,j} \rightarrow z_{i,j}^{(n)}$. Then x_n defines a valuation on R_i^n with valuation group $\Gamma_n := \{|f(x_n)| \mid f \in R_i^n\}$. Moreover, for any $l \geq n$, and $f \in R_i^n$, we have $|f(x_l)| = |f(x_n)|$. Then we define $\phi(x_0)$ to be the natural valuation $B_i = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_i^n \rightarrow \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_n$ by gluing all the valuations x_n on R_i^n . Since all the rational subset of $\text{Spa}(B_i, B_i^{\circ})$ are defined over some R_i^n , it is easy to check that θ is continuous. It is easy to check that $\psi_i \circ (\mu_i^{-1} \circ \theta_i) = \text{id}$ and $(\mu_i^{-1} \circ \theta_i) \circ \psi_i = \text{id}$. It follows that ψ_i is a homeomorphism.

Let x be a rigid point in U_i^{perf} and $\psi_i(x) = (x_0, x_1, \dots)$. For any $n \geq 0$, $\{|f(x_n)| = 0 \mid f \in R_i^n\} = \{|f(x_n)| = 0 \mid f \in R_i\} \cap R_i^n$ which is a maximal ideal. It follows that x_n is a rigid point.

Let x be a point in U_i^{perf} and set $\psi_i(x) = (x_0, x_1, \dots)$. We suppose that all x_n are rigid. Then $m_i^n := \{|f(x_n)| = 0 \mid f \in R_i^n\}$ is a maximal ideal in R_i^n . By [4, 2.6.1.2 Corollary 3], the residue field R_i^n/m_i^n is a finite extension of K and the valuation $R_i^n/m_i^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induced by x_i is the unique extension of the norm on K . Denote by L the completion of the algebraic closure of K , with the norm induced by the norm on K . There exists a continuous morphism $\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_i^n \rightarrow L$ by gluing the morphisms $R_i^n \rightarrow R_i^n/m_i^n \hookrightarrow L$. We can extend this morphism to a continuous morphism $g : R_i^n = \widehat{\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_i^n} \rightarrow L$. Then the valuation $f \rightarrow |g(f)|$ defines a point $y \in U_i^{\text{perf}}$. Observe that $g(R_i^n) = (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} R_i^n/m_i^n)$ is a field, y is rigid. Observe that for all $f \in R_i^n$, $|f(y)| = |f(x_i)|$. Then we have $\psi(y) = (x_0, x_1, \dots) = \psi(x)$. Then $y = x$ and then x is rigid. $\square$

For every $i = 0, \dots, N$, the embedding $K\langle z_{i,0}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}, z_{i,i+1}, \dots, z_{i,N} \rangle \subseteq R_i$ induces a map $U_i^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow U_i^{\text{ad}}$. We define $\pi : \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$ by gluing these maps. It is easy to check that

$$F^{\text{ad}} \circ \pi^b = \pi^b \circ F^{\text{perf}}.$$

For any point $x \in \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{perf}}$ with $\psi(x) = (x_0, x_1, \dots)$, we have $\pi(x) = x_0$.

3.4. Passing to the tilt. Denote by $U_i^{b,\text{perf}} := \text{Spa}(R_i^b, R_i^{b\circ})$ and $\Phi_i^{s,\text{perf}} : U_i^{b,\text{perf}} \rightarrow U_i^{b,\text{perf}}$ the s -th power of the Frobenius i.e. the map induced by the morphism

$$R_i^b \rightarrow R_i^b : f \mapsto f^q.$$

We define $(\mathbb{P}_K^N)^{\text{perf}}$ by gluing $U_i^{b,\text{perf}}$ together in the usual way: For any $i \neq j$, $U_i^{b,\text{perf}} \cap U_j^{b,\text{perf}} = U(1, z_{i,0}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}, z_{i,i+1}, \dots, z_{i,N}; z_{i,j}) \subseteq U_i^{b,\text{perf}}$.

On $U_i^{b,\text{perf}} \cap U_j^{b,\text{perf}}$, the transition map $\phi_{i,j}^b$ is defined to be

$$(\phi_{i,j}^{b,\text{perf}})^*(z_{j,k}^{1/p^n}) = z_{i,k}^{1/p^n} / z_{i,j}^{1/p^n} \text{ for } k \neq i, j; \text{ and } (\phi_{i,j}^{b,\text{perf}})^*(z_{j,i}^{1/p^n}) = 1 / z_{i,j}^{1/p^n}.$$

By reduce modulo ω and the categorical equivalence in Theorem 2.12, we see that $\phi_{i,j}^{b,\text{perf}} = (\phi_{i,j}^{\text{perf}})^b$ and $\Phi_i^{s,\text{perf}} = (F_i^{\text{perf}})^b$. It follows that $(\mathbb{P}_K^N)^{\text{perf}} = ((\mathbb{P}_K^N)^{\text{perf}})^b$ and we can define $\Phi^{s,\text{perf}}$ by gluing $\Phi_i^{s,\text{perf}}$ together. Moreover, we have $\Phi^{s,\text{perf}} = (F^{\text{perf}})^b$. Then we have the following

Theorem 3.4. *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{perf}} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{perf}} \\ \downarrow F^{\text{perf}} & & \downarrow \Phi^{s,\text{perf}} \\ \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{perf}} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{perf}} \end{array}$$

In other word, the topological dynamical systems $(\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{perf}}, F^{\text{perf}})$ and $(\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{perf}}, \Phi^s)$ are isomorphic by ρ .

For any $i \in \{0, \dots, N\}$, denote by

$$U_i^{b,\text{ad}} := \text{Spa}(K^b \langle z_{i,0}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}, z_{i,i+1}, \dots, z_{i,N} \rangle, K^b \langle z_{i,0}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}, z_{i,i+1}, \dots, z_{i,N} \rangle^\circ).$$

As in Section 3.1, we define $\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{ad}}$ by gluing $U_i^{b,\text{ad}}$, $i = 0, \dots, N$. Denote by $\phi_i^{s,\text{ad}}$ the s -th power of the Frobenius on U_i i.e. the map induced by the morphism $f \rightarrow f^q$ on $K^b \langle z_{i,0}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}, z_{i,i+1}, \dots, z_{i,N} \rangle$.

By Lemma 3.1, we have a natural embedding $\tau^b : R(\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{ad}}$. Then $\tau(R(\mathbb{P}_K^N)) = R(\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}})$ and we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R(\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{ad}} \\ \Phi^s|_{R(\mathbb{P}_K^N)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi^{s,\text{ad}} \\ R(\mathbb{P}_K^N) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{ad}} \end{array}$$

where Φ^s is the s -th power of the Frobenius on $\mathbb{P}_K^N$.

For every $i = 0, \dots, N$, the embedding $K^b \langle z_{i,0}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}, z_{i,i+1}, \dots, z_{i,N} \rangle \subseteq R_i^b$ induces a map $U_i^{b,\text{perf}} \rightarrow U_i^{b,\text{ad}}$. We define $\pi^b : \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{ad}}$ by gluing these maps. It is easy to check that

$$\Phi^{s,\text{ad}} \circ \pi^b = \pi^b \circ \Phi^{s,\text{perf}} \quad (*).$$

By [25, Theorem 8.5], π^b is a homeomorphism. Moreover, we have the following

Lemma 3.5. *The map π^b induces a bijection between $R(\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{perf}})$ and $R(\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{ad}})$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.5. It is clear that if x is rigid then $\pi^b(x)$ is rigid.

Now we suppose that $\pi^b(x)$ is rigid. We suppose that x is contained in $U_i^{b,\text{perf}}$ and then $x_0 := \pi^b(x) \in U_i^{b,\text{ad}}$. Since x_0 is rigid, it defines a morphism

$$g_0 : R_i^{b,0} := K^b \langle z_{i,0}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}, z_{i,i+1}, \dots, z_{i,N} \rangle \rightarrow L^b$$

where L^b is a finite extension of K^b . Then L^b is a perfectoid field. It follows that the Frobenius map $f \rightarrow f^p$ on L^b is an automorphism of field. For any $f \in R_i^{b,n} := K^b \langle z_{i,0}^{1/p^n}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}^{1/p^n}, z_{i,i+1}^{1/p^n}, \dots, z_{i,N}^{1/p^n} \rangle$, we have $f^{p^n} \in R_i^{b,0}$. Then the g_0 extends to a morphism on $g_n : R_i^{b,n} \rightarrow L^b$ by sending f to $(g_0(f^{p^n}))^{1/p^n}$. We glue g_n to define a continuous morphism $\cup_{n=0}^\infty R_i^{b,n} \rightarrow L^b$ and then extend it to a continuous morphism $g : R_i^b = \widehat{(\cup_{n=0}^\infty R_i^{b,n})} \rightarrow L^b$. Then g induces a rigid point $y \in U_i^{b,\text{perf}}$. Since $\pi^b(y) = x_0 = \pi^b(x)$, we have $y = x$. Then x is rigid. $\square$

4. PERIODIC POINTS

In this section, we denote by $K = \mathbb{C}_p$. Then K is a perfectoid field and K^b is the completion of the algebraical closure of $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$. We may suppose that $|p| = |t| = p^{-1}$.

Let $F : \mathbb{P}_K^N \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^N$ be an endomorphism taking form

$$F : [x_0 : \dots : x_N] \mapsto [x_0^q + pP_0(x_0, \dots, x_N) : \dots : x_N^q + pP_N(x_0, \dots, x_N)]$$

where q is a power of p , $P_0, \dots, P_N$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree q in $K^\circ[x_0, \dots, x_N]$. The aim of this section is to study the periodic points of F . In particular, we prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.1.

Recall that Per is the set of periodic closed points in $\mathbb{P}_K^N$.

Let V be any irreducible subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_K^N$. Suppose that V is defined by the equations $H_j(x_0, \dots, x_N) = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ where H_j are homogenous polynomials. We may suppose that $\|H_j\| = 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. For any $i = 0, \dots, N$, denote by

$$V_i^{\text{ad}} := \{x \in U_i^{\text{ad}} \mid |H_{i,j}(x)| = 0, j = 1, \dots, m\}$$

where $H_{i,j} := H(z_{i,0}, \dots, z_{i,i-1}, 1, z_{i,i+1}, \dots, z_{i,N})$. Observe that $\|H_{i,j}\| = 1$.

Set $R(V_i^{\text{ad}}) := R(\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}) \cap V_i^{\text{ad}}$, $V^{\text{ad}} := \bigcup_{i=0}^N V_i^{\text{ad}}$ and $R(V^{\text{ad}}) := R(\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}) \cap V^{\text{ad}}$. We have $\tau(R(V)) = R(V^{\text{ad}})$.

Observe that for all points $x \in R(U_i^{\text{ad}})$, we have $d(x, V) = \max\{|H_{i,j}(x)|\}$.

4.1. Passing by the reduction. Since K is algebraically closed, we have $R(\mathbb{P}_K^N) = \mathbb{P}_K^N(K)$. Denote by $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$, we have $k = K^\circ/K^{\circ\circ}$. At first, there exists a reduction map

$$\mathbf{red} : \mathbb{P}_K^N(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^N(k)$$

defined by the following: For any point $x \in \mathbb{P}_K^N(K)$, we may write it as $x = [x_0 : \dots : x_N]$ where $x_i \in K^\circ, i = 0, \dots, N$ and $\max\{|x_i|, i = 0, \dots, N\} = 1$. Then we define $\mathbf{red}(x) = [\overline{x_0} : \dots : \overline{x_N}]$ where $\overline{x_i}$ is the image of x_i in $k = K^\circ/K^{\circ\circ}$. Observe that $\mathbf{red} \circ F = \overline{\Phi}^s \circ \mathbf{red}$, where $\overline{\Phi}$ is the Frobenius on $\mathbb{P}_k^N$. For every point $y \in \mathbb{P}_k^N(k)$, there exists $m > 0$ such that $\overline{\Phi}^{sm}(y) = y$. Then we have $D_y := \mathbf{red}^{-1}(y) \simeq (K^{\circ\circ})^N$ is a polydisc fixed by F . Since $F^m|_{D_y}$ is attracting, $D_y \cap \text{Per}$ has exactly one point. It follows that $\mathbf{red}$ induces a bijection between Per and $\mathbb{P}_k^N(k)$.

Similarly, we can define the reduction map $\mathbf{red}^b : \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N(K^b) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^N(k)$. This map induces a bijection between Per^b and $\mathbb{P}_k^N(k)$ where Per^b is the set of Φ^s -periodic closed points of $\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N$.

Since k is a subfield of K^b , there exists an embedding $\eta : \mathbb{P}_k^N(k) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N(K^b)$. Observe that the image $\eta(\mathbb{P}_k^N(k))$ is exactly Per^b . Moreover we have $\mathbf{red}^b \circ \eta = \text{id}$. We may check that the map $\phi := \eta \circ \mathbf{red} : \text{Per} \rightarrow \text{Per}^b$ is a bijection satisfying $\Phi^s \circ \phi = \phi \circ F$.

4.2. Passing by the tilt. Denote by $\text{Per}^{\text{ad}} = \tau(\text{Per})$. It is exactly the set of periodic rigid points in $\mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$. For any point $x \in \text{Per}^{\text{ad}}$, denote by $n > 0$ a period of x under F^{ad} . We define a map $\chi : \text{Per}^{\text{ad}} \rightarrow \varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$ by sending x to $(x_0, x_1, \dots)$

where $x_i = (F^{\text{an}})^{kn-i}(x)$ where $kn \geq i$. We note that $\chi(x)$ does not depend on the choice of n and k . Since $\pi \circ \chi = \text{id}$, χ is injective. We have that $\chi(\text{Per}^{\text{ad}})$ is exactly the set of Per_T , where Per_T is the set of points $(x_0, x_1, \dots) \in \varprojlim_{F^{\text{ad}}} \mathbb{P}_K^{N,\text{ad}}$

which is periodic under T such that every x_n is rigid.

Denote by $\text{Per}^{b,\text{ad}}$ the set of rigid points in $\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{ad}}$ which are periodic under $\Phi^{s,\text{ad}}$. By applying Lemma 3.1 over K^b , there exists a bijection $\tau^b : R(\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N) \rightarrow R(\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^{N,\text{ad}})$

and we have

$$\tau^b \circ \Phi^s = \Phi^{s, \text{ad}} \circ \tau^b.$$

It follows that τ^b induces a bijection between $\text{Per}^{b, \text{ad}}$ and the set Per^b of Φ^s -periodic points in $R(\mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N) = \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N(K^b)$.

By Theorem 3.3, Theorem 3.4, Equation (*) and Lemma 3.5, the map

$$\iota := \pi^b \circ \rho \circ \psi^{-1} \circ \chi : \text{Per}^{\text{ad}} \rightarrow \text{Per}^{b, \text{ad}}$$

is bijective.

Denote by $\text{Per}_i^{\text{ad}} := \text{Per}^{\text{ad}} \cap U_i^{\text{ad}}$ and $\text{Per}_i^{b, \text{ad}} := \text{Per}^{b, \text{ad}} \cap U_i^{b, \text{ad}}$ for every $i = 0, \dots, N$. Then we have $\iota(\text{Per}_i^{\text{ad}}) = \text{Per}_i^{b, \text{ad}}$.

Observe that for every point $x \in \text{Per}$, we have $\mathbf{red}(x) = \mathbf{red}^b \circ \iota \circ \tau(x)$. Then we have

$$\phi = \eta \circ \mathbf{red} = \eta \circ \mathbf{red}^b \circ \iota \circ \tau = \iota \circ \tau$$

on Per .

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We only need to show this theorem for the periodic points in U_i^{ad} for all $i = 0, \dots, N$. Without the loss of generality, we only need to show that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in \text{Per} \cap U_0^{\text{ad}}$, either $d(x, V) > \delta$ or $x \in V$.

At first, we prove our theorem for hypersurfaces.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $H \in K[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ be a polynomial. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, such that for all $x \in \text{Per} \cap U_0^{\text{ad}}$, either $|H(x)| > \varepsilon$ or $H(x) = 0$.*

By this lemma, for any $H_{0,j}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, we have $\varepsilon_j > 0$ such that for all $x \in \text{Per} \cap U_0^{\text{ad}}$, either $|H_{0,j}(x)| > \varepsilon_j$ or $H_{0,j}(x) = 0$. Set $\delta := \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{\varepsilon_j\}$. Let x be a point in $\text{Per} \cap U_0^{\text{ad}}$ satisfying $d(x, V) \leq \delta$. Then for all $j = 1, \dots, m$, we have $H_{0,j}(x) = 0$. It follows that $x \in V$.

We only need to proof Lemma 4.1.

To do this, we need the following Lemma

Lemma 4.2. *Let $E/\overline{F}_p((t))$ be a finite extension. Then $E = \overline{F}_p((u))$ for some $u \in E$ satisfying $|u| = |t|^{1/[E:\overline{F}_p((t))]}$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Observe that E is a discrete valuation field.

Since $\overline{F}_p$ is algebraically closed, the extension $E/\overline{F}_p((t))$ is totally ramified. It follows that $E = \overline{F}_p((t))(u)$ where the minimal polynomial of u over $\overline{F}_p((t))$ is an Eisenstein polynomial. It follows that $|u| = |t|^{1/[E:\overline{F}_p((t))]}$ and uE° is the maximal ideal of E° . For every $f \in E^\circ$, f can be written as $\sum_{i \geq 0} a_i u^i$ where $a_i \in \overline{F}_p$ for all $i \geq 0$. Then we conclude our proof. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *For any polynomial $G \in K^{b\circ}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a polynomial $G_\varepsilon \in K^{b\circ}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ satisfying $\deg G_\varepsilon \leq \deg G$, $\|G - G_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$ and G_ε takes form $G_\varepsilon = \sum_{i \geq 0}^m u^i g_i$ where $g_i \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[x_1, \dots, x_N]$, $u \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p((t))^\circ$ with norm $|u| = |t|^{1/[\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p((t))(u):\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p((t))]}$ and $|u|^m \geq \varepsilon$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.3. By Lemma 4.2, there exists $u \in \overline{F_p((t))}^\circ$ with norm $|u| = |t|^{1/[\overline{\mathbb{F}_p((t))}(u):\overline{\mathbb{F}_p((t))}(u)]}$ and $H \in \overline{F_p((t))}(u)[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ such that $\deg H \leq \deg G$, $\|G - H\| < \varepsilon$ and H takes form $H = \sum_{i \geq 0}^\infty u^i g_i$ where $g_i \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$. Let m be the largest integer such that $|u|^m \geq \varepsilon$. Set $G_\varepsilon = \sum_{i \geq 0}^m u^i g_i$ then we conclude our proof. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 4.1. We may suppose that $H \neq 0$ and $\|H\| = 1$.

By Remark 2.20, for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and an element $G_c \in K^{b0}[x_1^{1/p^l}, \dots, x_N^{1/p^l}]$ such that for all $x \in U_0^{\text{perf}}$, we have

$$|H \circ \pi(x) - G_c^\#(x)| \leq |p|^{1/2} \max(|H(x)|, |p|^c) = |p|^{1/2} \max(|G_c^\#(x)|, |p|^c),$$

and $G^{p^l} \in K^{b0}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$. By Lemma 4.3, we may suppose that $G_c^{p^l} = \sum_{i \geq 0}^m u^i g_{c,i}$ where $g_{c,j} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$, $u \in \overline{F_p((t))}^\circ$ with norm $|u| = |t|^{1/[\overline{\mathbb{F}_p((t))}(u):\overline{\mathbb{F}_p((t))}(u)]}$ and $|u|^m \geq |t|^{c+1/2} q^l$.

Denote by I_c the ideal of $K^b[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ generated by all $g_{c,i}$.

If $x \in R(U_0^b)$ is a point such that for all $g \in I_c$, $g(x) = 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |H(\pi(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(x))))| \\ & \leq \max\{|H(\pi(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(x)))) - G_c^\#(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(x)))|, |G_c(x) - \sum_{i \geq 0}^m u^{i/q^l} g_{c,i}(x)^{1/q^l}|\} \\ & \leq \max\{|p|^{1/2} |G_c^\#(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(x)))|, |p|^{c+1/2}\} \\ & = \max\{|p|^{1/2} |G_c(x) - \sum_{i \geq 0}^m u^{i/q^l} g_{c,i}(x)^{1/q^l}|, |p|^{c+1/2}\} \leq |p|^{c+1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let x be a point in $\text{Per} \cap U_0^{\text{ad}}$ satisfying $|H(x)| \leq |p|^{c+1/2}$. Then for all $g \in I_c$, we have $g(\phi(x)) = 0$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Observe that $|(H - G_c^\#)(x)| \leq |p|^{1/2} \max(|H(x)|, |p|^c)$ and $|H(x)| \leq |p|^{c+1/2}$. We have $|G_c(\phi(x))| = |G_c^\#(x)| \leq |p|^{c+1/2}$. For all $j \geq 0$, we have $g_{c,j}(\phi(x)) \in k$. It follows that either $|g_{c,j}(\phi(x))| = 1$ or $g_{c,j}(\phi(x)) = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$. If $g_{c,j}(\phi(x)) = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$, then for all $g \in I_c$ we have $g(\phi(x)) = 0$. Otherwise, let j_0 be the smallest j satisfying $|g_{c,j}(\phi(x))| = 1$. It follows that $|G_c(\phi(x))| = |u|^{j_0/p^l}$. Since $|G_c(\phi(x))| = |G_c^\#(x)| \leq |p|^{c+1/2}$, we get a contradiction. $\square$

Set $I := \sum_{c \geq 1} I_c$. Since $K^b[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ is Noetherian, there exists $M \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, such that $I = \sum_{c=1}^M I_c$. Set $\varepsilon := |p|^{M+1/2}$. Let x be a point in $\text{Per} \cap U_0^{\text{ad}}$ satisfying $|H(x)| \leq \varepsilon = |p|^{M+1/2}$. By Lemma 4.4, for all $g \in I = \sum_{c=1}^M I_c$, we have $|g(\phi(x))| = 0$. It follows that for all $c \geq 1$ and $g \in I_c$, we have $|g(\phi(x))| = 0$. Then we have $|H(x)| \leq |p|^{c+1/2}$ for all $c \geq 0$. Let c tend to infinity, we have $H(x) = 0$. We conclude our proof. $\square$

4.4. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $V \cap \text{Per}$ is Zariski dense in V . We claim the following

Lemma 4.5. *There exists a subset S of $R(V)$ such that it is Zariski dense in V and there exists $l \geq 1$ such that $F^l(S) = S$.*

Since S is Zariski dense in V and $S = F^l(S)$ is Zariski dense in $F^l(V)$. It follows that $V = F^l(V)$. Then Lemma 4.5 implies Theorem 1.1.

Now we prove Lemma 4.5 in the rest of this section.

Since $\cup_{i=0}^N \tau^{-1}(\text{Per}_i^{\text{ad}} \cap V_i^{\text{ad}}) = \text{Per}$ is Zariski dense in V , there exists $i = 0, \dots, N$, such that $\tau^{-1}(\text{Per}_i^{\text{ad}} \cap V_i^{\text{ad}})$ is Zariski dense in V . We may suppose that a such i is 0.

Let Z be the Zariski closure of $\phi(\tau^{-1}(\text{Per}_0^{\text{ad}} \cap V_0^{\text{ad}})) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N$. Since $\phi(\tau^{-1}(\text{Per}_0^{\text{ad}} \cap V_0^{\text{ad}}))$ is defined over k and it is Zariski dense in Z , Z is defined over $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Then Z is defined over a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$. It follows that there exists $l \geq 1$, such that $\Phi^{sl}(Z) = Z$.

Set $S^{b,\text{ad}} := \tau^b(Z(K)) \cap U_0^b$. We have $\iota(\text{Per}^{\text{ad}} \cap V_0^{\text{ad}}) \subseteq S^{b,\text{ad}} \cap \pi^b(\rho(\pi^{-1}(V^{\text{ad}})))$. Observe that $\Phi^{s,\text{ad}}(S^{b,\text{ad}}) = S^{b,\text{ad}}$.

We claim the following

Lemma 4.6. *We have $S^{b,\text{ad}} \subseteq \pi^b(\rho(\pi^{-1}(V_0^{\text{ad}})))$.*

Remark 4.7. We note that if $\pi^b(\rho(\pi^{-1}(V_i^{\text{ad}})))$ is algebraic, then our lemma is easy. Since $\phi(\tau^{-1}(\text{Per}_0^{\text{ad}} \cap V_0^{\text{ad}}))$ is Zariski dense in Z , and $\pi^b(\rho(\pi^{-1}(V_i^{\text{ad}})))$ is algebraic, we have $S^{b,\text{ad}} \subseteq \pi^b(\rho(\pi^{-1}(V_0^{\text{ad}})))$.

But in general $\pi^b(\rho(\pi^{-1}(V_i^{\text{ad}})))$ is not algebraic since the map ρ is not algebraic. Our proof of Lemma 4.6 is based on Lemma 2.18, which allows us to approximate $\pi^b(\rho(\pi^{-1}(V_i^{\text{ad}})))$ by algebraic subvarieties.

By assuming Lemma 4.6, we have $\pi(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(S^{b,\text{ad}}))) \subseteq V_0^{\text{ad}}$. Set $S = \tau^{-1}(\pi(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(S^{b,\text{ad}}))))$. We have $S \subseteq V$ is a Zariski dense subset of V . Moreover, we have $F^l(S) = S$. Then we conclude Lemma 4.6.

Now we only need to prove Lemma 4.6.

At first, we need the following

Lemma 4.8. *Let $H \in K^b[z_{0,1}, \dots, z_{0,N}]$ be a polynomial with norm 1. Suppose that for every point $x \in \iota(\text{Per}^{\text{ad}} \cap V_0^{\text{ad}})$, we have $|H(x)| \leq 1/p^s$, where $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then for every point $y \in S^{b,\text{ad}}$, we have $|H(y)| \leq 1/p^s$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.8. Observe that we have a map

$$R(U_0^{\text{ad}}) = (K^{b\circ})^N \rightarrow (K^{b\circ}/(t^s))^N = \mathbb{A}_{K^{b\circ}/(t^s)}^N(K^{b\circ}/(t^s))$$

defined by $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_n})$ where $\overline{x_i} = x_i \mod t^s$. Denote by

$$\overline{H} := H \mod t^s.$$

For every point $x \in \iota(\text{Per}^{\text{ad}} \cap V_0^{\text{ad}})$, we have $\overline{H}(\overline{x}) = 0$. Observe that

$$\overline{\iota(\text{Per}^{\text{ad}} \cap V_0^{\text{ad}})} = (\phi(\tau^{-1}(\text{Per}_0^{\text{ad}} \cap V_0^{\text{ad}}))) \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec}(K^{b,\circ}/(t^s))$$

is Zariski dense in $Z \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec}(K^{b,\circ}/(t^s))$. It follows that

$$Z \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec}(K^{b,\circ}/(t^s)) \subseteq \{\overline{H} = 0\}.$$

Then we have

$$\overline{S^{b,\text{ad}}} = Z(k) \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec}(K^{b,\circ}/(t^s)) \subseteq Z \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec}(K^{b,\circ}/(t^s)) \subseteq \{\overline{H} = 0\}.$$

It follows that for every $x \in S^{b,\text{ad}}$, we have $H(x) = 0 \pmod{t^s}$. Then we have $|H(x)| \leq 1/p^s$, for all $x \in S^{b,\text{ad}}$. $\square$

Now we apply Lemma 2.18 to $H_{0,j} \in K\langle z_{0,1}, \dots, z_{0,N} \rangle \subseteq R_0^{\text{perf}}$ for every $j = 1, \dots, m$. For any $s \geq 2$ there exists $h_s \in R_0^{b,\text{perf}}$ such that for all $x \in U_0^{\text{perf}}$, we have

$$|H_{0,j}(x) - h_s^\#(x)| \leq |t|^{1/2} \max(|H_{0,j}(x)|, |t|^s) = |t|^{1/2} \max\{|h_s^\#(x)|, |t|^s\} < 1 \quad (**).$$

It follows that $\|h_s\| = \|H_{0,j}\| = 1$.

For every point $x^b \in (\pi^b)^{-1}(\iota(\text{Per}^{\text{ad}} \cap V_0^{\text{ad}}))$, we have

$$x := \rho^{-1}(x) \in \pi^{-1}(\text{Per}^{\text{ad}} \cap V_0^{\text{ad}}).$$

Then we have $H_{0,j}(x) = 0$. By (**), we have

$$|h_s(x^b)| \leq |t|^{s+1/2} = |t|^{1/2} \max\{|h_s(x^b)|, |t|^s\} = 1/p^{s+1/2}.$$

Since $h_s \in R_0^{b,\text{perf}} = K^b\langle z_{0,1}^{1/p^\infty}, \dots, z_{0,N}^{1/p^\infty} \rangle$, there are $r \geq 0$ and a function

$$g_s \in K^b[z_{0,1}^{1/p^r}, \dots, z_{0,N}^{1/p^r}]$$

such that $\|h_s - g_s\| < 1/p^s$. It follows that $g_s^r \in K^b[z_{0,1}, \dots, z_{0,N}]$ and

$$\|h_s^{p^r} - g_s^{p^r}\| \leq 1/p^{rs}.$$

Then for every point $x^b \in (\pi^b)^{-1}(\iota(\text{Per}^{\text{ad}} \cap V_0^{\text{ad}}))$, we have

$$|g_s^{p^r}(\pi^b(x^b))| = |g_s^{p^r}(x^b)| = |h_s^{p^r}(x^b) + (g_s^{p^r}(x^b) - h_s^{p^r}(x^b))| \leq 1/p^{rs}.$$

By Lemma 4.8, for all $y \in S^{b,\text{ad}}$, we have $|g_s^{p^r}(y)| \leq 1/p^{rs}$. Then we have $|h_s((\pi^b)^{-1}(y))| \leq 1/p^s$ and

$$|h_s^\#(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(y)))| = |h_s((\pi^b)^{-1}(y))| \leq 1/p^s$$

for all $y \in S^{b,\text{ad}}$.

By Equation (**), we have

$$|H_{0,j}(x) - h_s^\#(x)| \leq |t|^{1/2} \max\{|h_s^\#(x)|, |t|^s\} = 1/p^{s+1/2} \quad (**)$$

for all $x \in \rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(S^{b,\text{ad}}))$. It follows that for all $x \in \rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(S^{b,\text{ad}}))$, we have $|H_{0,j}(x)| \leq 1/p^s$. Let $s \rightarrow \infty$, we have $|H_{0,j}(x)| = 0$ for all $x \in \rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(S^{b,\text{ad}}))$. Since $|H_{0,j}(x)| = |H_{0,j}(\pi(x))|$, we have $|H_{0,j}(y)| = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $y \in \pi(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(S^{b,\text{ad}})))$. It follows that $\pi(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(S^{b,\text{ad}}))) \subseteq V_0^{\text{ad}}$. Then we have $S^{b,\text{ad}} \subseteq \pi^b(\rho(\pi^{-1}(V_0^{\text{ad}})))$.

4.5. Scanlon's proof of Theorem 1.1. In this section, we briefly discuss Scanlon's proof of Theorem 1.1. In this proof, we don't need the perfectoid spaces.

For the simplicity, we suppose that F is defined over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ i.e. $F : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^N$ is an endomorphism taking form

$$F : [x_0 : \cdots : x_N] \mapsto [x_0^q + pP_0(x_0, \dots, x_N) : \cdots : x_N^q + pP_N(x_0, \dots, x_N)]$$

where q is a power of p , $P_0, \dots, P_N$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $q = p^s$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x_0, \dots, x_N]$. Let V be a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^N$ defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $\text{Per} \cap V$ is Zariski dense in V . We want to show that V is periodic.

Remark 4.9. By a specialization argument, this proof is not hard to be extended to the case over $\mathbb{C}_p$.

By the structure of the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, there exists an elements $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ lifts the q -power Frobenius. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.10 ([18]). *We have $\text{Per} = \{x \in \mathbb{P}^N(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \mid F(x) = \sigma(x)\}$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.10. Recall that the reduction map

$$\mathbf{red} : \mathbb{P}^N(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$$

gives a bijection between Per and $\mathbb{P}^N(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$.

Let x be any point in Per . We have that $F(x) \in \text{Per}$ and $\mathbf{red}(F(x)) = \mathbf{red}(x)^q$. On the other hand, we have that $\sigma(x) \in \text{Per}$ and $\mathbf{red}(\sigma(x)) = \mathbf{red}(x)^q$. Then we have $F(x) = \sigma(x)$.

Let x be any point in $\mathbb{P}^N(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ satisfying $F(x) = \sigma(x)$. Since x is defined over a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $\sigma^n(x) = x$. It follows that

$$F^n(x) = F^{n-1}(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(F^{n-1}(x)) = \cdots = \sigma^n(x) = x.$$

Then x is periodic. □

Observe that $\sigma(V)$ is a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^N$. Then we have

$$\sigma(V \cap \text{Per}) = F(V \cap \text{Per}) \subseteq \sigma(V) \cap F(V).$$

Since $V \cap \text{Per}$ is Zariski dense in V , we have $\sigma(V) = F(V)$. Since V is defined over a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $\sigma^n(V) = V$. It follows that

$$F^n(V) = F^{n-1}(\sigma(V)) = \sigma(F^{n-1}(V)) = \cdots = \sigma^n(V) = V.$$

Then V is periodic.

5. COHERENT BACKWARD ORBITS

In this section, we denote by $K = \mathbb{C}_p$. Then K is a perfectoid field and K^b is the completion of the algebraical closure of $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$. We may suppose that $|p| = |t| = p^{-1}$. Denote by $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ which is a subfield of K^b .

Let $F : \mathbb{P}_K^N \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^N$ be an endomorphism taking form

$$F : [x_0 : \cdots : x_N] \mapsto [x_0^q + pP_0(x_0, \dots, x_N) : \cdots : x_N^q + pP_N(x_0, \dots, x_N)]$$

where q is a power of p , $P_0, \dots, P_N$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree q in $K^\circ[x_0, \dots, x_N]$.

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7.

Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $b_0 \in R(U_0^{\text{ad}})$. It follows that $b_i \in R(U_0^{\text{ad}})$ for all $i \geq 0$. Set $w := \pi^b \circ \rho \circ \psi^{-1}((b_0, b_1, \dots)) \in \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N(K_b)$. Then $w \in R(U_0^{b, \text{ad}}) := \{[1 : x_1 : \cdots : x_N] \mid |x_i| \leq 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{K^b}^N(K_b)$. It follows that $w^{1/q^n} \subseteq R(U_0^{b, \text{ad}})$ for all $n \geq 0$.

If $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is infinite, we may suppose that $b_1 \neq b_0$ and then $b_i, i \geq 0$ are all different. Denote by Z the union of all positive dimensional irreducible components of the algebraic clature of $\{w^{1/q^{n_i}}\}_{i \geq 0}$. There exists $A \geq 0$, such that Z is that algebraic clature of $\{w^{1/q^{n_i}}\}_{i \geq A}$ in $U_0^b := \text{Spec } K^b[x_1, \dots, x_N]$. Moreover, for all $n \geq A$, Z is the algebraic clature of $\{w^{1/q^{n_i}}\}_{i \geq n}$ in U_0^b .

Denote by $I(Z)$ the ideal in $K^b[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ which defines Z .

For every polynomial $f = \sum_I a_I x^I \in K^b[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $f^{\sigma^i} := \sum_I a_I^q x^I$. Observe that $f(y^{1/q^i}) = (f^{\sigma^i}(y))^{1/q^i}$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $y \in R(U_0^{b, \text{ad}})$.

Then we have the following lemma

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ be a polynomial defined over k . If there exists $c \in (0, 1)$ and $B \geq A$, such that for all $i \geq B$, $|f(w^{1/q^{n_i}})| \leq c$, then $f \in I(Z)$.*

Proof of Lemma 5.1. There exists $L \geq 1$ such that f is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^L}$. Then we have $f^{\sigma^{nL}} = f$ for all $n \geq 0$. For $t = 0, \dots, L-1$, set $T_t := \{i \geq B \mid n_i = t \pmod L\}$.

For all $t = 0, \dots, L-1$ satisfying $\#T_t = \infty$, we have

$$|f(w^{1/q^t})|^{1/q^{n_i-t}} = |f^{\sigma^{n_i-t}}(w^{1/q^t})|^{1/q^{n_i-t}} = |f(w^{1/q^{n_i}})| \leq c,$$

for all $i \in T_t$. It follows that $|f(w^{1/q^t})| \leq c^{q^{n_i-t}}$ for all $i \in T_t$. Since T_t is infinite, n_i can be arbitrary large. Then we have $|f(w^{1/q^t})| = 0$ for all $i \in T_t$. It follows that

$$|f(w^{1/q^{n_i}})| = |f^{\sigma^{n_i-t}}(w^{1/q^t})|^{1/q^{n_i-t}} = |f(w^{1/q^t})|^{1/q^{n_i-t}} = 0$$

for all $i \in T_t$. It follows that $f(w^{1/q^{n_i}}) = 0$ for all $i \in \sqcup_{0 \leq t \leq L-1, \#T_t = \infty} T_t$. Since $\{i \geq A\} \setminus (\sqcup_{0 \leq t \leq L-1, \#T_t = \infty} T_t)$ is finite, $\{w^{1/q^{n_i}}\}_{i \in \sqcup_{0 \leq t \leq L-1, \#T_t = \infty} T_t}$ is Zariski dense in Z . Then $f \in I(Z)$. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. *We have that Z is defined over k . In particular, there exists $r \geq 1$ such that $\Phi^{sr}(Z) = Z$ and $\{w^{1/q^i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \cup_{i=0}^{r-1} \Phi^{si}(Z)$.*

Proof of Lemma 5.2. We only need to show that $I(Z)$ is generated by finitely many polynomials in $k[x_1, \dots, x_N] \subseteq K^b[x_1, \dots, x_N]$. In fact, if $I(Z) = (g_1, \dots, g_l)$ and $g_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ for all $i = 1, \dots, l$. There exists $r \geq 1$ such that all the coefficients of $g_i, i = 1, \dots, l$ are defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$. Then we have $\Phi^{sr}(Z) = Z$. Moreover, there exists $j \geq 0$, such that $w^{1/p^j} \in Z$. It follows that $\{w^{1/q^i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi^{si}(Z) = \cup_{i=0}^{r-1} \Phi^{si}(Z)$.

Write $I(Z) = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ where $m \geq 1$ and $f_i \in K^b[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Denote by $d := \max_{0 \leq i \leq m} \{\deg(f_i)\}$.

By Lemma 4.3, for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, there exists a sequence of polynomial $\{f_{i,n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ such that $\|f_i - f_{i,n}\| \leq |t^n|$ and taking form $f_{i,n} = \sum_{j=0}^{m_{i,n}} u_{i,n}^j f_{i,j,n}$ where $f_{i,j,n} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ of degree at most d , $u_{i,n} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p((t))}^\circ$ with norm $|u_{i,n}| = |t|^{1/[\mathbb{F}_p((t))(u_{i,n}) : \mathbb{F}_p((t))]}|u_{i,n}|$ and $|u_{i,n}|^{m_{i,n}} \geq |t|^n$.

We claim that $f_{i,j,n} \in I(Z)$ for all $j = 0, \dots, m_{i,n}$.

We prove that claim pour induction on j . For $j = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{i,0,n}(w^{1/q^{n_l}})| &= |f_{i,n}(w^{1/q^{n_l}}) - \sum_{j \geq 1} u_{i,n}^j f_{i,j,n}(w^{1/q^{n_l}})| \\ &\leq \max\{|t^n|, |u_{i,n}|\} < 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $l \geq A$. By Lemma 5.2, we have $f_{i,0} \in I(Z)$.

If $j \geq 1$ and $f_{i,0,n}, \dots, f_{i,j-1,n} \in I(Z)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{i,j,n}(w^{1/q^{n_l}})| &= |u_{i,n}^{-j}(f_{i,n}(w^{1/q^{n_l}}) - \sum_{0 \leq t' \leq j-1} u_{i,n}^{t'} f_{i,t',n}(w^{1/q^{n_l}})) - \sum_{t' \geq j+1} u_{i,n}^{t'-j} f_{i,j,n}(w^{1/q^{n_l}})| \\ &\leq \max\{|t|^n/|u_{i,n}|^j, |\sum_{t' \geq j+1} u_{i,n}^{t'-j} f_{i,j,n}(w^{1/q^{n_l}})|\} \leq \max\{|t|^n/|u_{i,n}|^j, |u_{i,n}|\} < 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $l \geq A$. By Lemma 5.2, we have $f_{i,j,n} \in I(Z)$. Then we conclude the proof of the claim. It follows that $f_{i,n} \in I$.

Set $I_d := \{f \in I \mid \deg(f) \leq d\}$. Then I_d is a finitely dimensional K^b -vector space. For all $n \geq 0, j = 0, \dots, m_{i,n}$, denote by $I_{i,j,n}$ the K_b -vector space spanned by $f_{i,0,0}, \dots, f_{i,j,0}, \dots, f_{i,0,n}, \dots, f_{i,j,n}$. Then $\cup_{n \geq 0, j=0, \dots, m_{i,n}} I_{i,j,n}$ is a subspace of I_d . Since $\dim I_d$ is finite, $\cup_{n \geq 0, j=0, \dots, m_{i,n}} I_{i,j,n}$ is closed. Observe that f_i is contained in the cloture of $\cup_{n \geq 0, j=0, \dots, m_{i,n}} I_{i,j,n}$, we have $f_i \in \cup_{n \geq 0, j=0, \dots, m_{i,n}} I_{i,j,n}$. There exists $l_i \geq 0$, such that $f_i \in I_{i,m_{i,l_i}, l_i}$. It follows that $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \subseteq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} I_{i,m_{i,l_i}, l_i} \subseteq I$. Then we have $I = (f_{i,j,n})_{1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq n \leq l_i, 0 \leq j \leq m_{i,l_i}}$ and $f_{i,j} \in k[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ for all i, j . $\square$

5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.7. Let V be a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$ such that there exists a subsequence $\{b_{n_i}\}_{i \geq 0}$ such that $|d(b_{n_i}, V)| \rightarrow 0$ when $i \rightarrow \infty$. We need to show that $b_{n_i} \in V$ for i large enough and there exists $r \geq 0$, such that $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0} \subseteq \cup_{i=0}^{r-1} F^i(V)$.

If $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is finite, Theorem 1.7 is trivial. So we suppose that $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is infinite.

Denote by $I(V)$ the ideal in $K[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ which defines $V \cap U_1$. Then for any point in $R(U_0^{\text{ad}})$, we have $d(y, V) = \max\{|H(y)| \mid H \in I(V) \text{ and } \|H\| = 1\}$.

Denote by Z the union of all positive dimensional irreducible components of the algebraic clature of $\{w^{1/q^{n_i}}\}_{i \geq 0}$. There exists $A \geq 0$, such that Z is that algebraic clature of $\{w^{1/q^{n_i}}\}_{i \geq A}$ in $U_0^b := \text{Spec } K^b[x_1, \dots, x_N]$. Moreover, for all $n \geq A$, Z is the algebraic clature of $\{w^{1/q^{n_i}}\}_{i \geq n}$ in U_0^b .

Denote by $I(Z)$ the ideal in $K^b[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ which defines Z .

Let H be a polynomial $I(V)$.

Lemma 5.3. *For any point $x \in Z \cap R(U_0^{b, \text{ad}})$, we have $H(\pi(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(x)))) = 0$.*

Proof of Lemma 5.3. By Remark 2.20, for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and an element $G_c \in K^{b0}[x_1^{1/p^l}, \dots, x_N^{1/p^l}]$ such that for all $x \in U_0^{\text{perf}}$, we have

$$|H \circ \pi(x) - G_c^\#(x)| \leq |p|^{1/2} \max(|H(x)|, |p|^c) = |p|^{1/2} \max(|G_c^\#(x)|, |p|^c),$$

and $G_c^{p^l} \in K^{b0}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$. By Lemma 4.3, we may suppose that $G_c^{p^l} = \sum_{i \geq 0}^m u^i g_i$ where $g_i \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$, $u \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}((t))^\circ$ with norm $|u| = |t|^{1/[\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}((t))(u) : \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}((t))(u)]}$ and $|u|^m \geq |t|^{c+1/2} q^l$.

There exists $A_1 \geq 0$, such that for all $i \geq A_1$, $|H(b_{n_i})| \leq |p|^{c+1}$.

For all $i \geq 0$, we have

$$|G_c(w^{1/q^{n_i}})| \leq \max\{|H(b_{n_i})|, |H(b_{n_i}) - G_c^\#(\rho^{-1}(w^{1/q^{n_i}}))|\} \leq |p|^{c+1/2}.$$

Then we have

$$|G_c(w^{1/q^{n_i}})^{p^l}| \leq |t|^{p^l(c+1/2)}.$$

We claim that for all $j = 0, \dots, m$, we have $g_j \in I(Z)$.

We prove this claim by induction on j . Suppose that for all $0 \leq t' < j \leq m$, we have $g_{t'} \in I(Z)$. For all $i \geq \max\{A, A_1\}$, we have

$$|u^j g_j(w^{1/q^{n_i}}) + \sum_{t' \geq j+1} u^{t'} g_{t'}(w^{1/q^{n_i}})| = |G_c(w^{1/q^{n_i}})^{p^l}| \leq |t|^{p^l(c+1/2)}.$$

It follows that $|g_j(w^{1/q^{n_i}})| \leq \max\{|t|^{p^l(c+1/2)}/|u|^j, |u|\} < 1$ for all $i \geq \max\{A, A_1\}$. Then Lemma 5.1 implies that $g_j \in I(Z)$. Then we conclude our claim.

Set $m := \max\{j \mid s_j < p^l(c+1/2)\}$ and $G'_c := \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} u^{j/p^l} g_j^{1/p^l}$. We have $G_c^{p^l} \in I(Z)$ and $|G_c(x) - G'_c(x)| \leq |t|^{c+1/2}$ for all $x \in U_0^{b, \text{ad}}$.

Then for any $x \in Z \cap R(U_0^{b, \text{ad}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H(\pi(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(x))))| &= |H(\pi(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(x)))) - G_c'^\#(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(x)))| \\ &\leq \max\{|H(\pi(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(x)))) - G_c^\#(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(x)))|, |G_c(x) - G'_c(x)|\} \\ &\leq \max\{|p|^{1/2} |G_c^\#(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(x)))|, |p|^{c+1/2}\} \\ &= \max\{|p|^{1/2} |G_c^\#(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(x))) - G_c'^\#(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(x)))|, |p|^{c+1/2}\} \leq |p|^{c+1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let c tends to infinity, then we have $|H(\pi(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(x))))| = 0$. We complete the proof of our lemma. $\square$

This lemma shows that $S := \pi(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(Z \cap R(U_0^{b, \text{ad}})))) \subseteq V$. Then $b_{n_i} \in V$ for $i \geq A$. By Lemma 5.2, there exists $r \geq 1$ such that $\Phi^{sr}(Z) = Z$ and $\{w^{1/p^i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \cup_{i=0}^r \Phi^{si}(Z)$. It follows that $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0} \subseteq \cup_{i=0}^{r-1} F^i(S) \subseteq \cup_{i=0}^{r-1} F^i(V)$.

5.2. Proof of Corollary 1.8. Let V be a positive subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$. We need to show there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $i \geq 0$, either $b_i \in V$ or $d(b_i, V) > c$.

Otherwise, there exists a subsequence $\{b_{n_i}\}_{i \geq 0} \subseteq \{b_i\}_{i \geq 0} \setminus V$ such that $d(b_{n_i}, V)$ tends to 0. By Theorem 1.7, we have $b_{n_i} \in V$ for i large enough. It is a contradiction.

5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let V be a positive subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^N$ such that $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0} \cap V$ is Zariski dense in V . Let $\{n_1 < n_2 < \dots\}$ be the set of $n \geq 0$ such that $b_n \in V$. We need to show that V is periodic under F .

If $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is finite, then all points in $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ are periodic. Moreover V is a union of finitely many periodic points. Then V is periodic.

Now we may suppose that $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is infinite.

Denote by $I(V)$ the ideal in $K[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ which defines $V \cap U_1$. Let H be a polynomial $I(V)$.

By Lemma 5.3, for any point $x \in Z \cap R(U_0^{b, \text{ad}})$, we have $H(\pi(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(x)))) = 0$.

It follows that $S := \pi(\rho^{-1}((\pi^b)^{-1}(Z \cap R(U_0^{b, \text{ad}})))) \subseteq V$. Since $b_{n_i} \in S$ for all $i \geq A$, S is Zariski dense in V . Since $\Phi^{rs}(Z \cap R(U_0^{b, \text{ad}})) = Z \cap R(U_0^{b, \text{ad}})$, we have $F^r(S) = S$. It follows that $F^r(V) = V$. Then we conclude the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Baker and L.-C. Hsia. Canonical heights, transfinite diameters, and polynomial dynamics. *J. reine angew. Math*, 585:61C–92, 2005.
- [2] Jason P. Bell, Dragos Ghioca, and Thomas J. Tucker. The dynamical Mordell-Lang problem for étale maps. *Amer. J. Math.*, 132(6):1655–1675, 2010.
- [3] Jason P. Bell, Dragos Ghioca, and Thomas J. Tucker. *The dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture*, volume 210 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2016.
- [4] S. Bosch, U. Güntzer, and R. Remmert. *Non-Archimedean analysis*, volume 261. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. A systematic approach to rigid analytic geometry.
- [5] Alexandru Buium. An approximation property for Teichmüller points. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 3(4):453–457, 1996.
- [6] Alexandru Buium. Geometry of p -jets. *Geometry of p -jets*, 82(2):349–367, 1996.
- [7] R. Dujardin and C. Favre. The dynamical Manin-Mumford problem for plane polynomial automorphisms. arXiv:1405.1377.
- [8] Najmuddin Fakhruddin. The algebraic dynamics of generic endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^n$. to appear in *Algebra and Number Theory*.
- [9] Gerd Faltings. The general case of S. Lang’s conjecture. In *Barsotti Symposium in Algebraic Geometry (Abano Terme, 1991)*, volume 15 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 175–182. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [10] Ofer Gabber and Lorenzo Ramero. *Almost ring theory*, volume 1800 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [11] D. Ghioca and T. J. Tucker. Proof of a dynamical Bogomolov conjecture for lines under polynomial actions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138:937–942, 2010.
- [12] Dragos Ghioca, Khoa D. Nguyen, and Hexi Ye. The Dynamical Manin-Mumford Conjecture and the Dynamical Bogomolov Conjecture for split rational maps. arXiv:1511.06081.
- [13] Dragos Ghioca and Thomas J. Tucker. Periodic points, linearizing maps, and the dynamical Mordell-Lang problem. *J. Number Theory*, 129(6):1392–1403, 2009.
- [14] Dragos Ghioca, Thomas J. Tucker, and Shou-Wu Zhang. Towards a dynamical Manin-Mumford conjecture. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 22:5109–5122, 2011.
- [15] Ehud Hrushovski. The Manin-Mumford conjecture and the model theory of difference fields. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, 112(1):43–115, 2001.
- [16] R. Huber. Continuous valuations. *Math. Z.*, 212(3):455–477, 1993.
- [17] R. Huber. A generalization of formal schemes and rigid analytic varieties. *Math. Z.*, 217(4):513–551, 1994.
- [18] Alice Medvedev and Thomas Scanlon. Invariant varieties for polynomial dynamical systems. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 179(1):81–177, 2014.
- [19] F. Pazuki. Zhang’s conjecture and squares of abelian surfaces. *C. R.Math. Acad. Sci. Paris.*, 1(348):483–486, 2010.
- [20] F. Pazuki. Polarized morphisms between abelian varieties. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 9(2):405–411, 2013.
- [21] Richard Pink and Damian Roessler. On Hrushovski’s proof of the Manin-Mumford conjecture. arXiv preprint math/0212408, 2002.
- [22] M. Raynaud. Courbes sur une variété abélienne et points de torsion. *Invent. Math.*, 71(1):207–233, 1983.
- [23] M. Raynaud. Sous-variétés d’une variété abélienne et points de torsion. In *Arithmetic and geometry*, volume I of *Progr. Math.*, pages 327–352. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983.
- [24] Thomas Scanlon. The conjecture of Tate and Voloch on p -adic proximity to torsion. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (17):909–914, 1999.
- [25] Peter Scholze. Perfectoid spaces. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études*, 116:245–313, 2012.
- [26] Peter Scholze. Perfectoid spaces and their applications. In *ICM lecture*, Seoul, 2014.

- [27] John Tate and José Felipe Voloch. Linear forms in p -adic roots of unity. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (12):589–601, 1996.
- [28] E. Ullmo. Positivité et discrétion des points algébriques des courbes. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 147:167–179, 1998.
- [29] Paul Vojta. Integral points on subvarieties of semiabelian varieties. I. *Invent. Math.*, 126(1):133–181, 1996.
- [30] Junyi Xie. The Dynamical Mordell-Lang Conjecture for polynomial endomorphisms on affine plane. arXiv:1503.00773.
- [31] Xinyi Yuan and Shou-Wu Zhang. The arithmetic Hodge index theorem for adelic line bundles I. arXiv:1304.3538.
- [32] Shou-Wu Zhang. Equidistribution of small points on abelian varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 147(1998), 147:159–165, 1998.
- [33] Shouwu Zhang. Small points and adelic metrics. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 4(2):281–300, 1995.

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE TOULOUSE UNIVERSITÉ PAUL SABATIER 118 ROUTE
DE NARBONNE 31062 TOULOUSE CEDEX 9

E-mail address: junyi.xie@univ-rennes1.fr